

Auslander Regularity of p -adic Distribution Algebras

Tobias Schmidt

February 2, 2008

Abstract

Given a compact p -adic analytic group we prove that the distribution algebra admits a Fréchet-Stein structure with Auslander regular Banach algebras whose global dimensions are bounded above by the dimension of the group. As an application, we prove that nonzero coadmissible modules coming from smooth or, more general, $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -finite representations have a maximal grade number (codimension) equal to the dimension of the group.

1 Introduction

Given a locally L -analytic group G where $L \subseteq \mathbb{C}_p$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ P. Schneider and J. Teitelbaum recently developed a systematic framework to study locally analytic G -representations in topological p -adic vector spaces (cf. [ST1-6]). At the center of this theory lies a certain subcategory $\mathcal{C}_G$ of modules over the K -valued locally analytic distribution algebra $D(G, K)$, $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}_p$ being a complete and discretely valued extension of L . The category $\mathcal{C}_G$ (the *coadmissible* modules) is contravariantly equivalent to the category of admissible locally analytic G -representations via the functor "passage to the strong dual". The construction of $\mathcal{C}_G$ relies on the fact that, when G is compact, the algebra $D(G, K)$ is Fréchet-Stein. The latter means that $D(G, K)$, although in general not noetherian, equals a projective limit of certain noetherian Banach algebras $D_r(G, K)$ with flat transition maps. Furthermore, Schneider and Teitelbaum prove ([ST5], Thm. 8.9) that, in case $L = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and G is compact, the ring $D(G, K)$ is "almost" Auslander regular: the rings $D_r(G, K)$ are Auslander regular with a global dimension bounded above by the dimension of the manifold G . This result allows to establish a well-behaved dimension theory on $\mathcal{C}_G$ where the usual grade number serves as a codimension function. On the other hand, it allows to deduce important properties of the locally analytic duality functor (cf. [ST6]) e.g. its involutivity.

The present work establishes the regularity property of $D(G, K)$ over arbitrary base fields. Its main result is the

Theorem. *Let G be a compact locally L -analytic group. Then $D(G, K)$ has the structure of a K -Fréchet-Stein algebra where the corresponding Banach algebras are Auslander regular rings whose global dimensions are bounded above by the dimension of G .*

The family of Banach algebras arises in the same fashion as in [ST5] i.e. the rings $D_r(G, K)$ come as quotient Banach algebras via the map $D(G_0, K) \rightarrow D(G, K)$. Here, G_0 denotes the underlying locally $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -analytic group and the map comes dually from embedding locally L -analytic functions into locally $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -analytic functions on G .

The brief outline of the paper is as follows. After reviewing the notions of Auslander regularity, uniform pro- p groups and Fréchet-Stein algebras in our setting (sections 2-4) the first part of the paper is led by the filtration techniques developed in [ST5] over $\mathbb{Q}_p$: in section 5 we single out certain subgroups H of G serving as locally L -analytic analogues of uniform groups. Their underlying locally $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -analytic groups H_0 are uniform. We prove that G contains sufficiently many subgroups of this type. In section 6 the induced filtrations in the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow I_r(H_0, K) \longrightarrow D_r(H_0, K) \longrightarrow D_r(H, K) \longrightarrow 0$$

as well as the associated graded objects are determined. Here, $D_r(H_0, K)$ is filtered through its norm and $I_r(H_0, K)$ denotes the norm-closure inside $D_r(H_0, K)$ of the kernel of $D(H_0, K) \rightarrow D(H, K)$. Provided the index r is sufficiently "small" we may deduce the regularity of $D_r(H, K)$ from the shape of its graded ring $gr_r D_r(H, K)$.

The second part of the paper reduces the general situation to this special case (sections 7-8). It relies on the observation that the norm-completed versions of ring extensions $D(H_0^{(m)}, K) \subseteq D(H_0, K)$ coming from lower p -series subgroups $H_0^{(m)} \subseteq H_0$ are homologically well-behaved.

We finish by describing the resulting dimension theory on C_G . As an application we show that coadmissible modules coming from smooth or, more general, $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -finite G -representations (as studied in [ST1]) are zero-dimensional.

Notations: Throughout, $\mathbb{Q}_p \subseteq L \subseteq K \subseteq \mathbb{C}_p$ is a chain of complete intermediate fields where $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is finite and K is discretely valued. If not otherwise stated G denotes a compact locally L -analytic group, $\mathfrak{g}_L$ its Lie algebra and $\exp$ an exponential map. Let $\kappa := 1$ resp. $\kappa := 2$ if p is odd resp. even.

Acknowledgements: This work is based on the author's thesis. He would

like to thank his thesis advisor Peter Schneider for guidance and support. He is also grateful to Matthias Strauch and Jan Kohlhaase for many helpful discussions.

2 Auslander regularity

Let R be an arbitrary associative unital ring. For any (left or right) R -module N the *grade* $j_R(N)$ is defined to be either the smallest integer l such that $\text{Ext}_R^l(N, R) \neq 0$ or ∞ . A left and right noetherian regular ring R is called *Auslander regular* if every finitely generated left or right R -module N satisfies the Auslander condition (AC): for any $l \geq 0$ and any R -submodule $L \subseteq \text{Ext}_R^l(N, R)$ one has $j_R(L) \geq l$. It is well-known that commutative noetherian regular rings are Auslander regular. The same is true for Zariski rings R with Auslander regular graded ring ([LVO], III.2.2.5).

We start by examining a certain type of ring extension that will occur frequently in the following.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $R_0 \subseteq R_1$ be an extension of unital noetherian rings where R_1 is flat as left and right R_0 -module. Let N be a finitely generated left R_0 -module. Put $N_1 = R_1 \otimes_{R_0} N$. Then*

$$\text{Ext}_{R_0}^*(N, R_0) \otimes_{R_0} R_1 \simeq \text{Ext}_{R_1}^*(N_1, R_1)$$

as right R_1 -modules.

Proof: This is standard: using a projective resolution of N by finitely generated free left R_0 -modules this reduces to degree zero where the isomorphism is obvious. $\square$

Lemma 2.2 *Let $R_0 \subseteq R_1$ be an extension of unital rings. Suppose there are units $b_1 = 1, b_2, \dots, b_t \in R_1^\times$ which form a basis of R_1 as (left and right) R_0 -module and which satisfy:*

1. $b_i R_0 = R_0 b_i$ for any $1 \leq i \leq t$,
2. for any $1 \leq i, j \leq t$ there is $1 \leq k \leq t$ such that $b_i b_j \in b_k R_0$,
3. for any $1 \leq i \leq t$ there is $1 \leq l \leq t$ such that $b_i^{-1} \in b_l R_0$.

Suppose t is invertible in R_0 .

Then: R_0 is noetherian if and only if R_1 is noetherian. In this case both rings have the same global dimension. R_0 is an Auslander regular ring if and only if this holds true for R_1 .

Proof: Clearly, if R_0 is (left and right) noetherian so is R_1 . Conversely, let R_1 be noetherian and consider an ascending chain of left ideals (J_i) in R_0 . The chain of left ideals $(R_1 J_i)$ in R_1 becomes stationary. Now $R_1 = \bigoplus_i b_i R_0$

implies $R_1 J_i \cap R_0 = J_i$ and so the chain (J_i) becomes stationary. The same works with right ideals thus proving that R_1 is noetherian. In this case [ST5], Lem. 8.8 (note, that in [loc.cit.] the assumption that t is invertible is crucial but not explicitly stated) yields that R_0 and R_1 have the same global dimension.

Now assume that R_0 is Auslander regular. Let N be a finitely generated left or right R_1 -module. By [loc.cit.] we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{R_1}^*(N, R_1) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}_{R_0}^*(N, R_0) \quad (1)$$

as abelian groups. Let $L \subseteq \mathrm{Ext}_{R_1}^l(N, R_1)$ be any R_1 -submodule. Since R_1 is noetherian and N is finitely generated $\mathrm{Ext}_{R_1}^l(N, R_1)$ is finitely generated as R_1 -module. Hence, so is L . Consider L as R_0 -module. Then it is finitely generated and so from $L \subseteq \mathrm{Ext}_{R_0}^l(N, R_0)$ we deduce by (AC) for the finitely generated R_0 -module N that $j_{R_0}(L) \geq l$. But this implies $j_{R_1}(L) \geq l$ by (1). Hence, N satisfies (AC) and R_1 is Auslander regular.

Conversely, suppose that R_1 is Auslander regular. Let N be a finitely generated left R_0 -module and let $L \subseteq \mathrm{Ext}_{R_0}^l(N, R_0)$ be any right R_0 -module. It is finitely generated by the same argument as above. Put $N_1 := R_1 \otimes_{R_0} N$. Then

$$L \otimes_{R_0} R_1 \subseteq \mathrm{Ext}_{R_0}^l(N, R_0) \otimes_{R_0} R_1 = \mathrm{Ext}_{R_1}^l(N_1, R_1)$$

where the first inclusion is flatness of R_1 over R_0 and the last equality (of right R_1 -modules) follows from Lem. 2.1. By (AC) for N_1 we have $j_{R_1}(L \otimes_{R_0} R_1) \geq l$ and so $\mathrm{Ext}_{R_1}^k(L \otimes_{R_0} R_1, R_1) = 0$ for all $k < l$. By the same proof as for Lem. 2.1 (replace "left" by "right") one has

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{R_1}^k(L \otimes_{R_0} R_1, R_1) = R_1 \otimes_{R_0} \mathrm{Ext}_{R_0}^k(L, R_0)$$

as left R_1 -modules and so $\mathrm{Ext}_{R_0}^k(L, R_0) = 0$ for all $k < l$ by faithful flatness of R_1 . This implies by definition of the grade number $j_{R_0}(L) \geq l$ and so the left R_0 -module N satisfies (AC). The proof for right modules being the same this shows that R_0 is Auslander regular. $\square$

The main application of this result will be the following: if $H \subseteq G$ denotes an open normal subgroup and $D(H, K) \subseteq D(G, K)$ the distribution algebras then this ring extension satisfies the assumptions of the preceding lemma. Any system of representatives for the cosets in G/H containing 1 serves as a basis.

3 Uniform pro- p groups and p -valuations

The aim of this section is to clarify the relation between uniform pro- p groups and the p -valued groups introduced in [ST5]. We begin by recalling the basic properties of uniform groups. For the details we refer to [DDMS].

Let G be a topologically finitely generated pro- p group. Denoting by G^l the subgroup of G generated by l -th powers the *lower p -series* $(P_i(G))_{i \geq 1}$ is inductively defined via

$$P_1(G) := G, \quad P_{i+1}(G) := P_i(G)^p [P_i(G), G]$$

for all $i \geq 1$. The subgroups $P_i(G)$ are (topologically) characteristic in G and constitute a fundamental system of open neighbourhoods for $1 \in G$. The group G is called *powerful* if the commutator of G is contained in G^p resp. G^4 (in case p odd resp. even). In this case $P_{i+1}(G) = P_i(G)^p = G^{p^i}$. Finally, G is called *uniform* if it is powerful and the index $(P_i(G) : P_{i+1}(G))$, $i \geq 1$ does not depend on i . A uniform group G has a unique locally $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -analytic structure: for any minimal (ordered) set of topological generators $h_1, \dots, h_d$ the map

$$(x_1, \dots, x_d) \mapsto h_1^{x_1} \cdots h_d^{x_d}$$

is a bijective global chart $\mathbb{Z}_p^d \rightarrow G$. In this situation, the subgroup $P_i(G)$ equals the image of $p^{i-1}\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ and is uniform itself.

On the other hand, there is the notion of a *p -valuation* ω on an abstract group G introduced in [Laz]. This is a real valued function

$$\omega : G \setminus \{1\} \longrightarrow (1/(p-1), \infty)$$

satisfying

1. $\omega(gh^{-1}) \geq \min(\omega(g), \omega(h))$,
2. $\omega(g^{-1}h^{-1}gh) \geq \omega(g) + \omega(h)$,
3. $\omega(g^p) = \omega(g) + 1$

for all $g, h \in G$. As usual one puts $\omega(1) = \infty$. A p -valuation gives rise to a natural filtration of G by subgroups defining a topology on G . A p -valued group (G, ω) , complete with respect to this topology, is called *p -saturated* if any $g \in G$ such that $\omega(g) > p/(p-1)$ is a p -th power.

Now let G be a compact locally $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -analytic group endowed with a p -valuation ω . It follows from [Laz], III.3.1.3/9 and III.3.2.1 that the topology on G is defined by ω whence G is complete. Furthermore, G admits an *ordered basis*. This an ordered set of topological generators $h_1, \dots, h_d$ of G such that the map $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \mapsto h_1^{x_1} \cdots h_d^{x_d}$ is a bijective global chart $\mathbb{Z}_p^d \rightarrow G$ and satisfies

$$\omega(h_1^{x_1} \cdots h_d^{x_d}) = \min_{i=1, \dots, d} (\omega(h_i) + v_p(x_i)). \quad (2)$$

Here, v_p is the p -adic valuation on $\mathbb{Z}_p$. In [ST5], Sect. 4 the authors introduce the class of compact locally $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -analytic groups G carrying a p -valuation ω with ordered basis $h_1, \dots, h_d$ that satisfy the following additional axiom

(HYP) (G, ω) is p -saturated and the ordered basis $h_1, \dots, h_d$ of G satisfies $\omega(h_i) + \omega(h_j) > p/(p-1)$ for any $1 \leq i \neq j \leq d$.

If $d = 1$ the second condition is redundant.

We show that this class and the class of uniform groups are closely related (comp. also [loc.cit.], remark after Lem. 4.3).

Proposition 3.1 *Let G be a uniform pro- p group of dimension d . Then G has a p -valuation ω satisfying (HYP). It is given as follows: for $g \in P_i(G) \setminus P_{i+1}(G)$ put $\omega(g) := i$ resp. $\omega(g) := i+1$ in case $p \neq 2$ resp. $p = 2$. In particular, ω is integrally valued. Any ordered system of topological generators $h_1, \dots, h_d$ for G is an ordered basis for ω in the sense of (HYP) with the property*

$$\omega(h_1) = \dots = \omega(h_d) = \kappa.$$

Conversely, if $p \neq 2$ then any compact locally $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -analytic group with a p -valuation satisfying (HYP) is a uniform pro- p group.

Proof: Let G be a uniform pro- p group. Define ω as in the proposition. If $d > 1$ then clearly $\omega(h_i) + \omega(h_j) > p/(p-1)$ for $i \neq j$. Moreover, $\omega(g) > p/(p-1)$ for $g \in G$ implies $g \in P_2(G)$ and by [DDMS], Lem. 3.4 the group $P_2(G)$ consists (as a set) precisely of the p -th powers of G . So for the first statement it remains to see that ω really is a p -valuation: the axiom 1. is clear. According to [loc.cit.], Lem. 4.10 the map $x \mapsto x^p$ is a bijection $P_i(G)/P_{i+1}(G) \rightarrow P_{i+1}(G)/P_{i+2}(G)$ for all i . Thus, 3. is satisfied. Now the lower p -series of any pro- p group satisfies

$$[P_i(G), P_j(G)] \leq P_{i+j+\kappa-1}(G)$$

for all $i, j \geq 1$ ([loc.cit.], Prop. 1.16 for $p \neq 2$ and lemma below for $p = 2$) which gives 2. Finally, any ordered set of (topological) generators $h_1, \dots, h_d$ is an ordered basis and must lie in $P_1(G) \setminus P_2(G)$. This follows directly from the discussion in [loc.cit.], 4.2. In particular, $\omega(h_i) = \kappa$ for all i .

Conversely, assume $p \neq 2$ and let a compact locally $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -analytic group G be given together with a p -valuation ω satisfying (HYP). One has $\omega([g, h]) > p/(p-1)$ for all $g, h \in G$ according to (HYP) and the equation (2) above. Since G is p -saturated this implies $[G, G] \subseteq G^p$ i.e. G is powerful. It is also torsionfree: A pro- p group can have at most p -torsion which is impossible by 3.. Hence, G is a finitely generated powerful pro- p group without torsion and so, according to [loc.cit.], Thm. 4.5, G must be uniform. $\square$

The following was used in the preceding proof.

Lemma 3.2 *Let G be a powerful pro-2 group that is finitely generated. Then*

$$[P_i(G), P_j(G)] \leq P_{i+j+1}(G)$$

for all $i, j \geq 1$.

Proof: Fix an arbitrary i and use induction on j . Abbreviate $P_i := P_i(G)$. According to [loc.cit.], Thm. 3.6 we have $[P_i, P_1] = [P_i, G] \leq P_i^4 = P_{i+2}$ which starts the induction. Now assume the statement holds true for all numbers less than $k \geq 2$. It suffices, according to [loc.cit.], Prop. 1.16, to show that $[P_i(G'), P_k(G')] = 1$ for the (finite) powerful 2-group $G' := G/P_{i+k+1}$. Abbreviate $P'_i := P_i(G')$ and note that $P'_{i+k+1} = 1$. Now $[P'_i, P'_{k-1}]$ is central in G' . Indeed, we have $[P'_i, P'_{k-1}] \leq P'_{i+k}$ by induction hypothesis and $[P'_{i+k}, G'] \leq P'_{i+k+1} = 1$. Using this together with $[P'_i, P'_{k-1}]^2 \leq P_{i+k}^2 = P'_{i+k+1} = 1$ implies $[x, y^2] = [x, y]y^{-1}[x, y]y = [x, y]^2 = 1$ for $x \in P'_i$, $y \in P'_{k-1}$. Since G' is powerful one has $P_{k-1}^2 = \{y^2, y \in P'_{k-1}\}$ according to [loc.cit.], Thm. 2.7. It follows that $[P'_i, P'_k] = [P'_i, P_{k-1}^2] = 1$. $\square$

4 $D(G, K)$ as a Fréchet-Stein algebra

We review the construction of the Fréchet-Stein structure of $D(G, K)$ since this is central to our work.

Recall that a (two-sided) K -Fréchet algebra is called Fréchet-Stein if there is a sequence $q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \dots$ of algebra seminorms on A defining its Fréchet topology and such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the completion A_n of A with respect to q_n is a noetherian K -Banach algebra and a flat A_{n+1} -module via the natural map $A_{n+1} \rightarrow A_n$. This applies to the algebra $D(G, K)$ of K -valued locally analytic distributions on G : let G_0 be the underlying locally $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -analytic group. Choose a normal open subgroup $H_0 \subseteq G_0$ which is a uniform pro- p group. According to Prop. 3.1 the lower p -series of H_0 induces an integrally valued p -valuation ω on H_0 satisfying (HYP) with $h_1, \dots, h_d$ chosen to be any (ordered) minimal set of generators of H_0 . Thus, the construction of [ST5], Sect. 4 for distribution algebras of such p -valued groups applies: the bijective global chart $\mathbb{Z}_p^d \rightarrow H_0$ for the manifold H_0 given by

$$(x_1, \dots, x_d) \mapsto h_1^{x_1} \dots h_d^{x_d} \quad (3)$$

induces a topological isomorphism $C^{an}(H_0, K) \simeq C^{an}(\mathbb{Z}_p^d, K)$ on K -valued locally analytic functions. In this isomorphism the right-hand side is a space of classical Mahler series and the dual isomorphism $D(H_0, K) \simeq D(\mathbb{Z}_p^d, K)$ therefore realizes $D(H_0, K)$ as a space of noncommutative power series. More precisely, putting $b_i := h_i - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$, $\mathbf{b}^\alpha := b_1^{\alpha_1} \dots b_d^{\alpha_d}$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ the Fréchet space $D(H_0, K)$ equals all convergent series

$$\lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} d_\alpha \mathbf{b}^\alpha$$

with $d_\alpha \in K$ such that the set $\{|d_\alpha| r^{|\alpha|}\}_\alpha$ is bounded for all $0 < r < 1$. Here $|\cdot|$ denotes the normalized absolute value on $\mathbb{C}_p$. The value $\lambda(f) \in K$

of such a series on a function $f \in C^{an}(H_0, K)$ with Mahler expansion

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} c_\alpha \binom{\mathbf{x}}{\alpha}, \quad c_\alpha \in K$$

is given by $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} c_\alpha d_\alpha$. The family of norms $\|\cdot\|_r$, $0 < r < 1$ defined via

$$\|\lambda\|_r := \sup_{\alpha} |d_\alpha| r^{|\alpha|}$$

defines the Fréchet topology on $D(H_0, K)$. Restricting to the subfamily $p^{-1} < r < 1$, $r \in p^{\mathbb{Q}}$ these norms are multiplicative and the completions $D_r(H_0, K)$ are K -Banach algebras exhibiting the Fréchet-Stein structure of $D(H_0, K)$.

Choose representatives $g_1, \dots, g_r$ for the cosets in G/H and define on $D(G_0, K) = \oplus_i D(H_0, K) g_i$ the norms $\|\sum_i \lambda_i g_i\|_r := \max_i \|\lambda_i\|_r$. The completions $D_r(G_0, K)$ are the desired Banach algebras for $D(G_0, K)$.

Finally, $D(G, K)$ is equipped with the corresponding quotient norms coming from the quotient map $D(G_0, K) \rightarrow D(G, K)$. The latter arises dually from embedding locally L -analytic functions into locally $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -analytic functions on G . Passing to the completions $D_r(G, K)$ yields the appropriate Banach algebras.

We conclude with another important feature of $D(H_0, K)$ in case of a locally $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -analytic group H_0 which is uniform. Each algebra $D_r(H_0, K)$ carries the (separated and exhaustive) norm filtration defined by the additive subgroups

$$F_r^s D_r(H_0, K) := \{\lambda \in D_r(H_0, K), \|\lambda\|_r \leq p^{-s}\},$$

$$F_r^{s+} D_r(H_0, K) := \{\lambda \in D_r(H_0, K), \|\lambda\|_r < p^{-s}\}$$

for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Put

$$gr_r D_r(H_0, K) := \oplus_{s \in \mathbb{R}} F_r^s D_r(H_0, K) / F_r^{s+} D_r(H_0, K)$$

for the associated graded ring. For a nonzero $\lambda \in D_r(H_0, K)$ denote by $\deg(\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}$ the *degree* of λ i.e. $\deg(\lambda) = s$ if and only if $\lambda \in F_r^s D_r(H_0, K) \setminus F_r^{s+} D_r(H_0, K)$. The *principal symbol* $\sigma(\lambda) \neq 0$ of λ is then given by $\lambda + F_r^{s+} D_r(H_0, K) \in gr_r D_r(H_0, K)$. Note that $gr K \simeq k[\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0^{-1}]$ where k denotes the residue field and ϵ_0 is the principal symbol of a prime element for K . It is a crucial fact that also $gr_r D_r(H_0, K)$ can be explicitly calculated and equals a polynomial ring over $gr K$ in the principal symbols $\sigma(b_i)$ ([ST5], Thm. 4.5).

5 Results on certain standard groups

Over the ground field $\mathbb{Q}_p$ the Fréchet-Stein theory of the distribution algebra depends heavily on the presence of uniform subgroups: every compact locally $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -analytic group contains an open normal uniform subgroup ([DDMS], Cor. 8.34). It is the principal aim of this section to generalize this latter fact in a suitable way to the ground field L .

We begin by reviewing the notion of a standard group. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal in the valuation ring $\mathfrak{o}$ of L . A locally L -analytic group is called *standard of level h* , $h \in \mathbb{N}$ if it admits a global chart onto $(\mathfrak{m}^h)^d \subseteq L^d$ such that the group operation is given by a single power series without constant term and with coefficients in $\mathfrak{o}^d$. A standard group of level 1 will simply be called *standard*. Any compact locally L -analytic group contains an open subgroup which is standard ([B-L], III. 7.3 Thm. 4). In case $L = \mathbb{Q}_p$ a locally $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -analytic group is called *standard** if it admits a global chart onto $p^\kappa \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ such that the group operation is given by a single power series without constant term and with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$. A *standard** group is uniform ([DDMS], Thm. 8.31).

Lemma 5.1 *Suppose G is a locally $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -analytic group which is standard* with respect to the global chart $\psi : G \rightarrow p^\kappa \mathbb{Z}_p^d$. Denote by $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ the i -th unit vector. The elements $h_i := \psi^{-1}(p^\kappa e_i)$ constitute a minimal set $h_1, \dots, h_d$ of topological generators of G .*

Proof: By the proof of [loc.cit.] ψ induces a group isomorphism

$$G/P_2(G) \rightarrow p^\kappa \mathbb{Z}_p^d / p^{\kappa+1} \mathbb{Z}_p^d$$

where $P_2(G)$ equals the Frattini subgroup of G . Hence, $h_1, \dots, h_d$ is a minimal set of topological generators. $\square$

Let $n = [L : \mathbb{Q}_p]$, e' be the ramification index of $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$ and u a uniformizer for $\mathfrak{o}$. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $l \geq 2$ and let G be locally L -analytic of dimension d .

Lemma 5.2 *Suppose G is standard of level le' with respect to a global chart ψ . Then it is standard of level 1 with respect to $u^{1-le'} \cdot \psi$. Its scalar restriction G_0 is standard* with respect to $G_0 \rightarrow (p^\kappa \mathfrak{o})^d \rightarrow p^\kappa \mathbb{Z}_p^{nd}$ where the first map equals $p^{\kappa-l} \cdot \psi$ and the second is induced by an arbitrary choice of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -basis for $\mathfrak{o}$.*

Proof: Let $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_d$ be the L -basis of $\mathfrak{g}_L$ induced by ψ and regard ψ as a map $G \rightarrow \Gamma$ where $\Gamma = \bigoplus_j \mathfrak{m}^{le'} \eta_j \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_L$. By standardness we have for $g, h \in G$ and $\psi(g) = \sum_j \lambda_j \eta_j$, $\psi(h) = \sum_j \mu_j \eta_j$, with $\lambda_j, \mu_j \in \mathfrak{m}^{le'}$ that

$$\psi(gh) = \sum_j F_j(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_d) \eta_j$$

where $F_j(X_1, \dots, X_d, Y_1, \dots, Y_d) \in \mathfrak{o}[[X_s], (Y_s)]]$ without constant term.

Let us first prove the statement concerning G_0 : take as an L -basis of $\mathfrak{g}_L$ the elements $\mathfrak{x}'_j := p^{l-\kappa} \eta_j$. Then $\Gamma = \bigoplus_j \mathfrak{m}^{le'} \eta_j = \bigoplus_j \mathfrak{m}^{\kappa e'} \mathfrak{x}'_j$ and for $g, h \in G$ and $\psi(g) = \sum_i \lambda_j \mathfrak{x}'_j$, $\psi(h) = \sum_j \mu_j \mathfrak{x}'_j$, with $\lambda_j, \mu_j \in \mathfrak{m}^{\kappa e'}$ we have

$$\psi(gh) = \sum_j F_j(\lambda_1 p^{l-\kappa}, \dots, \lambda_d p^{l-\kappa}, \mu_1 p^{l-\kappa}, \dots, \mu_d p^{l-\kappa}) \eta_j.$$

Since $F_j \in \mathfrak{o}[[X_s], (Y_s)]]$ has no constant term and $l \geq 2$ (i.e. $p^{l-\kappa} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$) we get

$$\psi(gh) = \sum_j F''_j(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_d) \mathfrak{x}'_j \quad (4)$$

where $F''_j \in \mathfrak{o}[[X_s], (Y_s)]]$ without constant term. By definition G is standard of level $\kappa e'$ with respect to

$$G \xrightarrow{\psi} \Gamma = \bigoplus_j \mathfrak{m}^{\kappa e'} \mathfrak{x}'_j \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{m}^{\kappa e'})^d. \quad (5)$$

Now choosing a $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -basis $v_1, \dots, v_n$ of $\mathfrak{o}$ yields $\mathfrak{m}^{\kappa e'} = \bigoplus_i p^\kappa \mathbb{Z}_p v_i$. From (5) together with the $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -basis $\{v_i \mathfrak{x}'_j\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_L$ we obtain the global chart

$$G_0 \xrightarrow{\psi} \Gamma = \bigoplus_j \bigoplus_i p^\kappa \mathbb{Z}_p v_i \mathfrak{x}'_j \longrightarrow (p^\kappa \mathbb{Z}_p)^{nd} \quad (6)$$

for G_0 which is as claimed. By (4) it follows for $g, h \in G_0$ and $\psi(g) = \sum_{ij} \lambda_{ij} v_i \mathfrak{x}'_j$, $\psi(h) = \sum_{ij} \mu_{ij} v_i \mathfrak{x}'_j$, with $\lambda_{ij}, \mu_{ij} \in p^\kappa \mathbb{Z}_p$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(gh) &= \sum_j F''_j((\sum_r \lambda_{rs} v_r)_s, (\sum_r \mu_{rs} v_r)_s) \mathfrak{x}'_j \\ &= \sum_j \sum_i G_{ij}((\lambda_{rs}), (\mu_{rs})) v_i \mathfrak{x}'_j. \end{aligned}$$

Since $v_i \in \mathfrak{o}$ we have $v_i v_j = \sum_k c_{ijk} v_k$ with $c_{ijk} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Hence, the nd functions G_{ij} are given by power series with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and no constant term. By definition G_0 is therefore standard* with a global chart as claimed.

It remains to see that G is standard of level 1 with respect to the claimed chart. But this follows as above by taking $\mathfrak{x}_j := u^{le'-1} \eta_j$ as L -basis of $\mathfrak{g}_L$. $\square$

Proposition 5.3 *Any compact locally L -analytic group G contains an open normal standard subgroup H such that H_0 is standard*. The corresponding global chart is given by*

$$H \xrightarrow{\exp^{-1}} \bigoplus_j \mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{x}_j \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}^d$$

where $\exp$ is the exponential map and $\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_d$ a suitable L -basis of $\mathfrak{g}_L$.

Proof: Choose a basis $\eta'_1, \dots, \eta'_d$ of $\mathfrak{g}_L$, endow $\mathfrak{g}_L$ with the maximum norm and $\text{End}_L(\mathfrak{g}_L)$, the space of L -linear maps on $\mathfrak{g}_L$, with the operator norm. Choose $\lambda' \in L^\times$ and consider

$$\Lambda' := \oplus_j \lambda'^{-1} \mathfrak{m} \eta'_j.$$

If λ' has sufficiently big absolute value then the Hausdorff series converges on Λ' (viewed as a subset of L^d via the basis $\eta'_1, \dots, \eta'_d$) turning it into a locally L -analytic group. We obtain an isomorphism of locally L -analytic groups $\exp : \Lambda' \rightarrow G'$ onto an open subgroup G' of G .

Enlarging λ' we may assume that $|\text{ad } \mathfrak{x}| < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$ for all $\mathfrak{x} \in \Lambda'$ and that $\text{Ad}(g) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} 1/k! (\text{ad } \mathfrak{x})^k$ for all $g = \exp(\mathfrak{x}) \in G'$ ([B-L], III.4.4 Cor. 3). Hence, $|\text{Ad}(g)| = 1$ and Λ' is $\text{Ad}(g)$ -stable for all $g \in G'$. Furthermore, let $\mathcal{R}'$ be a (finite) system of representatives for the cosets G/G' and put

$$\Lambda := \cap_{g \in \mathcal{R}'} \text{Ad}(g) \Lambda'.$$

Then Λ is $\text{Ad}(g)$ -stable for all $g \in G$. Now $p^t \Lambda$ is a subgroup of Λ' when $t \in \mathbb{N}$ is big enough. To see this choose $t \in \mathbb{N}$ big enough such that $g \exp(\mathfrak{x}) g^{-1} = \exp(\text{Ad}(g) \mathfrak{x})$ holds for all $\mathfrak{x} \in p^t \Lambda'$ and for all $g \in \mathcal{R}'$. Then $p^t \Lambda$ is stable under $*$, the group operation of Λ' . Indeed, if $\mathfrak{x}, \eta \in p^t \Lambda$ and $g \in \mathcal{R}'$ then writing $\mathfrak{x} = \text{Ad}(g) \mathfrak{x}'$, $\eta = \text{Ad}(g) \eta'$ with $\mathfrak{x}', \eta' \in p^t \Lambda'$ one may compute

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(\mathfrak{x} * \eta) &= \exp(\text{Ad}(g) \mathfrak{x}') \exp(\text{Ad}(g) \eta') = g \exp(\mathfrak{x}') \exp(\eta') g^{-1} \\ &= \exp(\text{Ad}(g) (\mathfrak{x}' * \eta')). \end{aligned}$$

Observe here, that we have $\mathfrak{x}' * \eta' \in p^t \Lambda'$ since $p^t \Lambda'$ is a subgroup of Λ' . The calculation implies that $\mathfrak{x} * \eta \in \text{Ad}(g) p^t \Lambda'$ and since this holds for any $g \in \mathcal{R}'$ we have $\mathfrak{x} * \eta \in p^t \Lambda$. Since $p^t \Lambda = -p^t \Lambda$ and $0 \in p^t \Lambda$ we obtain that $p^t \Lambda$ really is a subgroup of Λ' . Hence, $\exp(p^t \Lambda)$ is an open subgroup of G .

Each $\text{Ad}(g)$ is an L -linear isomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}_L$ and so $p^t \Lambda$ is a free $\mathfrak{o}$ -module of rank d . Thus, there are $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_d$ in $\mathfrak{g}_L$ such that

$$p^t \Lambda = \oplus_j \mathfrak{m} \eta_j$$

and

$$\varphi : \exp(p^t \Lambda) \rightarrow p^t \Lambda = \oplus_j \mathfrak{m} \eta_j \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}^d$$

is a global chart. According to (the proof of) [B-L], III.7.3 Thm. 4 we may pass to $\lambda^{-1} \mathfrak{m}^d$ for suitable $\lambda \in L \setminus \mathfrak{o}$ and obtain that the open subgroup

$$M := \exp(\lambda^{-1} p^t \Lambda)$$

of G is standard with respect to the global chart $\lambda \cdot \varphi|_M$. In this situation consider

$$\Gamma := \oplus_j \lambda^{-1} \mathfrak{m}^{le'} \eta_j \subseteq \oplus_j \lambda^{-1} \mathfrak{m} \eta_j = \lambda^{-1} p^t \Lambda$$

for suitable $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $l \geq 2$ which will be chosen conveniently in the following. In the rest of the proof we show that the open subgroup

$$H := \exp(\Gamma)$$

of G will satisfy our requirements.

Let us first check normality: H is normal in M by [B-L], III.7.4 Prop. 6. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a (finite) system of representatives for the cosets in G/M . Enlarging l if necessary we may assume that $g \exp(\mathfrak{x}) g^{-1} = \exp(\text{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{x})$ holds for all $\mathfrak{x} \in \Gamma$, $g \in \mathcal{R}$. Now Λ is $\text{Ad}(g)$ -stable for all $g \in G$ and hence, so is $\Gamma = \lambda^{-1} u^{le'-1} p^t \Lambda$ (u a uniformizer for $\mathfrak{o}$) since each $\text{Ad}(g)$ is L -linear. Thus, $H = \exp(\Gamma)$ is stable under conjugation with elements from $\mathcal{R}$ and therefore it is normal in G .

Now since M is standard with respect to $\lambda \cdot \varphi|_M$ it follows from [loc.cit.] that H is standard of level le' with respect to $\lambda \cdot \varphi|_H$. Recall that $\lambda \cdot \varphi|_H$ equals the map

$$\lambda \cdot \varphi|_H : H \xrightarrow{\lambda \cdot \exp^{-1}} \lambda \cdot \Gamma = \bigoplus_j \mathfrak{m}^{le'} \mathfrak{h}_j \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{m}^{le'})^d.$$

This means precisely that for $g, h \in H$ and $\lambda \cdot \exp^{-1}(g) = \sum_i \lambda_i \mathfrak{h}_i$, $\lambda \cdot \exp^{-1}(h) = \sum_j \mu_j \mathfrak{h}_j$ with $\lambda_i, \mu_j \in \mathfrak{m}^{le'}$ that

$$\lambda \cdot \exp^{-1}(gh) = \sum_j F_j(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_d) \mathfrak{h}_j$$

where $F_j(X_1, \dots, X_d, Y_1, \dots, Y_d) \in \mathfrak{o}[[X_s], [Y_s]]$ without constant term. Then H is standard of level le' with respect to the chart

$$H \xrightarrow{\exp^{-1}} \Gamma = \bigoplus_j \mathfrak{m}^{le'} \mathfrak{h}'_j \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{m}^{le'})^d$$

where $\mathfrak{h}'_j := \lambda^{-1} \mathfrak{h}_j$. Applying in this situation Lem. 5.2 we see that H is also standard of level 1 with a global chart given by

$$H \xrightarrow{\exp^{-1}} \Gamma = \bigoplus_j \mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{x}_j \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}^d$$

where $\mathfrak{x}_j := u^{le'-1} \mathfrak{h}'_j$. Thus, the L -basis $\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_d$ is as desired. Finally, Lem. 5.2 also implies that the restricted group H_0 is standard*. $\square$

Given a locally L -analytic group G a choice of L -basis $\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_d$ of $\mathfrak{g}_L$ gives rise to the map

$$\theta_L : \left(\sum_j x_j \mathfrak{x}_j \right) \mapsto \exp(x_1 \mathfrak{x}_1) \cdots \exp(x_d \mathfrak{x}_d) \in G$$

defined on an open subset of $\mathfrak{g}_L = \bigoplus_j L \mathfrak{x}_j$ containing 0. It is locally L -analytic and étale at 0 and is called a *system of coordinates of the second kind* associated to the decomposition $\mathfrak{g}_L = \bigoplus_j L \mathfrak{x}_j$ ([B-L], III.4.3 Prop. 3).

Furthermore, let us identify the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_L$ resp. $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of G resp. G_0 as $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -algebras ([B-VAR], 5.14.5) and consider the following condition on G :

Condition (L): There is an L -basis $\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_d$ of $\mathfrak{g}_L$ and a $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -basis $v_1, \dots, v_n$ of $\mathfrak{o}$ with $v_1 = 1$ such that the system of coordinates of the second kind $\theta_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ induced by the decomposition $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = \bigoplus_j \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Q}_p v_i \mathfrak{x}_j$ gives an isomorphism of locally $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -analytic manifolds

$$\theta_{\mathbb{Q}_p} : \bigoplus_j \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z}_p v_i \mathfrak{x}_j \longrightarrow G_0.$$

The exponential satisfies $\exp(\lambda \cdot v_i \mathfrak{x}_j) = \exp(v_i \mathfrak{x}_j)^\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We will refer to this condition several times in the following. Note that if G is pro- p and satisfies (L) with suitable bases v_i and $\mathfrak{x}_j$ then $\exp(\lambda \cdot v_i \mathfrak{x}_j) = \exp(v_i \mathfrak{x}_j)^\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ extends to $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -powers and so the elements

$$h_{ij} := \theta_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(v_i \mathfrak{x}_j) = \exp(v_i \mathfrak{x}_j)$$

are a minimal ordered system of topological generators for G_0 .

An obvious example of a compact locally L -analytic group satisfying (L) and having uniform scalar restriction is the additive group $\mathfrak{o}$.

Corollary 5.4 *Any compact locally L -analytic group G has a fundamental system of open normal subgroups H such that H_0 is uniform and satisfies (L).*

Proof: It suffices to show that the group H constructed in the proof of the last proposition satisfies (L). This is because $H \subseteq G'$ (in the notation of this proof) and by construction, the open subgroup G' of G can be chosen as small as desired. We use the notation of this proof.

According to it (and in connection with Lem. 5.2) H_0 is standard* with respect to the bijective global chart

$$\psi : H_0 \xrightarrow{\exp^{-1}} \Gamma = \bigoplus_j \bigoplus_i p^\kappa \mathbb{Z}_p v_i \mathfrak{x}'_j \longrightarrow p^\kappa \mathbb{Z}_p^{nd}.$$

Here, $\mathfrak{x}'_1, \dots, \mathfrak{x}'_d$ and $v_1, \dots, v_n$ are bases of $\mathfrak{g}_L$ resp. $\mathfrak{o}$ and we may assume $v_1 = 1$ (compare line (6)). Denoting by e_{ij} the ij -th unit vector in $\mathbb{Z}_p^{nd}$ put

$$h_{ij} := \psi^{-1}(p^\kappa e_{ij}) = \exp(p^\kappa v_i \mathfrak{x}'_j)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$, $j = 1, \dots, d$. Lem. 5.1 implies that these nd elements are a minimal system $h_{11}, h_{21}, \dots, h_{nd}$ of topological generators for H_0 . Putting $\mathfrak{z}_j := p^\kappa \mathfrak{x}'_j$ the map

$$\theta_{\mathbb{Q}_p} : \bigoplus_j \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z}_p v_i \mathfrak{z}_j \longrightarrow H_0, \quad \sum_{ij} \lambda_{ij} v_i \mathfrak{z}_j \mapsto \prod_j \prod_i h_{ij}^{\lambda_{ij}}$$

is a locally $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -analytic isomorphism since H_0 is uniform (comp. section 3). Because of $h_{ij} = \exp(v_i \mathfrak{z}_j)$ the map is by definition the system of coordinates of the second kind induced by the decomposition $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = \oplus_j \oplus_i \mathbb{Q}_p v_i \mathfrak{z}_j$.

It remains to check the last requirement of the condition (L). For fixed $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\exp(\lambda \cdot \mathfrak{x}) = \exp(\mathfrak{x})^\lambda$ for all $\mathfrak{x} \in \Lambda'$ since the group law on Λ' is given by the Hausdorff series. Since $H = \exp(\Gamma)$ with $\Gamma \subseteq \Lambda'$ this identity holds a fortiori for all $\mathfrak{x} \in \Gamma$ and so in particular for $\mathfrak{x} = v_i \mathfrak{z}_j$. $\square$

Corollary 5.5 *Suppose H is an open normal subgroup of G such that H_0 is uniform satisfying (L). Then any step in the lower p -series of H_0 endowed with the locally L -analytic structure as open subgroup of G is an open normal subgroup of G whose restriction is uniform satisfying (L).*

Proof: Let $H^{(m)}$ be the $(m+1)$ -th step $H_0^{(m)}$ in the lower p -series of H_0 as topological group but with locally L -analytic structure as an open subgroup of G . It is thus open normal in G . Furthermore, its scalar restriction $(H^{(m)})_0$ equals $H_0^{(m)}$ as locally $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -analytic group, according to [DDMS], Thm. 9.4. Let $\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_d$ resp. $v_1, \dots, v_n$ be bases of $\mathfrak{g}_L$ resp. $\mathfrak{o}$ such that the induced coordinate system $\theta_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ realizes condition (L) for H_0 . In particular, the elements $h_{ij} := \theta_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(v_i \mathfrak{x}_j)$ are a minimal ordered system $h_{11}, \dots, h_{nd}$ of topological generators for H_0 . Putting $\mathfrak{x}'_j := p^m \mathfrak{x}_j$ the $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -basis $v_i \mathfrak{x}'_j$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ (which we identify with the Lie algebra of $H_0^{(m)}$) is mapped by $\theta_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ onto the set $h_{11}^{p^m}, \dots, h_{nd}^{p^m}$. Thus

$$\theta_{\mathbb{Q}_p} : \oplus_j \oplus_i \mathbb{Z}_p v_i \mathfrak{x}'_j \longrightarrow H_0^{(m)}$$

is a locally $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -analytic isomorphism and by definition is the system of coordinates of the second kind induced by the basis $v_i \mathfrak{x}'_j$. It follows that $H_0^{(m)}$ satisfies (L) with respect to the bases $\mathfrak{x}'_1, \dots, \mathfrak{x}'_d$ and $v_1, \dots, v_n$. $\square$

6 Induced filtrations

Consider a quotient norm $\|\cdot\|_{\bar{r}}$, $p^{-1} < r < 1$, $r \in p^{\mathbb{Q}}$ on $D(G, K)$. The Banach algebra $D_r(G, K)$ carries the norm filtration defined *mutatis mutandis* as in section 4. We wish to compute the graded rings $gr_r D_r(G, K)$ in case G satisfies (L). This is motivated by the fact that, in case of a uniform group G_0 over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, the regularity of $D_r(G_0, K)$ is deduced from the corresponding properties of the graded ring $gr_r D_r(G_0, K)$ (cf. [ST5], Thm. 8.9 and proof).

Turning back to the group G we identify $\mathfrak{g}_L \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ where $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ denotes the Lie algebra of G_0 , the underlying locally $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -analytic group of

G . Via this identification we view $\exp$ as an exponential map for G_0 as well. There is an action of $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathfrak{g}_L$ on $C^{an}(G_0, K)$ via continuous endomorphisms given by

$$(v \otimes \mathfrak{x})f(g) := v \frac{d}{dt} f(\exp(-t\mathfrak{x})g) |_{t=0}$$

as well as an L -linear inclusion $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathfrak{g}_L \subseteq D(G_0, K)$ via associating to $v \otimes \mathfrak{x}$ the functional $f \mapsto (-v \otimes \mathfrak{x})f(1)$ (cf. [ST2]). We fix an L -basis $\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_d$ of $\mathfrak{g}_L$, a $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -basis $v_1, \dots, v_n$ of L and form the distributions

$$F_{ij} := 1 \otimes v_i \mathfrak{x}_j - v_i \otimes \mathfrak{x}_j \in D(G_0, K).$$

The kernel of the quotient map $D(G_0, K) \rightarrow D(G, K)$

$$I(G_0, K) := \{\lambda \in D(G_0, K) : \lambda|_{C^{an}(G, K)} \equiv 0\}$$

is a two-sided and closed ideal.

Lemma 6.1 *The ideal $I(G_0, K)$ equals the closure of the K -vector space generated by*

$$F_{ij}g \in D(G_0, K)$$

where $i = 1, \dots, n$, $j = 1, \dots, d$, $g \in G$.

Proof: For clarity of notation we let for the moment denote δ_g the image of g in $D(G_0, K)$. Let W denote the closure of the described vectorspace. According [Ko], Lem. 1.3.2 the subspace $C^{an}(G, K)$ equals

$$\{f \in C^{an}(G_0, K) : (1 \otimes v_i \mathfrak{x}_j - v_i \otimes \mathfrak{x}_j)f = 0 \text{ in } C^{an}(G_0, K) \text{ for all } i, j\}$$

and so $F_{ij}\delta_g \in I$. Since I is closed we have $W \subseteq I$. Choose a continuous functional ϕ on I vanishing on the subspace W . We show $\phi = 0$ whence $W = I$ by Hahn-Banach. For the strong dual I'_b we have the canonical isomorphism $I'_b \simeq C^{an}(G_0, K)/C^{an}(G, K)$ ([B-TVS], Cor. IV.2.2) whence $\phi = \bar{f}$ for some $\bar{f} \in C^{an}(G_0, K)/C^{an}(G, K)$. Then ϕ vanishing on W implies

$$F_{ij}\delta_g(f) = 0.$$

Recalling that multiplication in $D(G_0, K)$ is convolution one computes

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -F_{ij}\delta_g(f) \\ &= \delta_g(g' \longrightarrow -F_{ij}(g'' \longrightarrow f(g''g')))) \\ &= \delta_g((1 \otimes v_i \mathfrak{x}_j)f - (v_i \otimes \mathfrak{x}_j)f) = (1 \otimes v_i \mathfrak{x}_j - v_i \otimes \mathfrak{x}_j)f(g) \end{aligned}$$

Since i, j and $g \in G$ were arbitrary we have $f \in C^{an}(G, K)$ which means $\phi = 0$. $\square$

Proposition 6.2 *As right-ideals of $D(G_0, K)$ resp. $D_r(G_0, K)$ the ideal $I(G_0, K)$ resp. its closure $I_r(G_0, K) \subseteq D_r(G_0, K)$ are finitely generated by the F_{ij} .*

Proof: This follows from above since each $g \in D(G_0, K)$ is a unit, every finitely generated (right or left) ideal of $D(G_0, K)$ is already closed ([ST5], Cor. 3.4 and Lem. 3.6) and since we have $I_r = I D_r(G_0, K)$ ([ST5], proof of Prop. 3.7). $\square$

Remark: The statements of [loc.cit.] used in the preceding proof are concerned with left $D(G_0, K)$ -ideals. Because of the anti-involution on $D(G_0, K)$ induced by $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ (on the dense subalgebra $K[G]$) they also hold for right-ideals.

From now on we assume that the underlying locally $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -analytic group G_0 is uniform and satisfies (L) and that $\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_d$ and $v_1, \dots, v_n$ are corresponding bases (in particular $v_1 = 1$ and thus $F_{1j} = 0$ for all j). Put $h_{ij} := \exp(v_i \mathfrak{x}_j)$ for $i, j \geq 1$. The elements $h_{11}, h_{21}, \dots, h_{nd}$ constitute a minimal ordered set of topological generators for the uniform group G_0 and the global chart

$$G_0 \xrightarrow{\theta_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{-1}} \bigoplus_j \oplus_i \mathbb{Z}_p v_i \mathfrak{x}_j \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^{nd}$$

induces expansions for the elements of $D(G_0, K)$ in the monomials $\mathbf{b}^\alpha = b_{11}^{\alpha_{11}} b_{21}^{\alpha_{21}} \dots b_{nd}^{\alpha_{nd}}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{nd}$ where $b_{ij} := h_{ij} - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[G] \subseteq D(G_0, K)$ (comp. section 4). Finally, let $\log(1 + X) := \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^{k-1} X^k / k \in \mathbb{Q}[[X]]$.

Lemma 6.3 *We have $F_{ij} = \log(1 + b_{ij}) - v_i \log(1 + b_{1j})$.*

Proof: Let $f \in C^{an}(H_0, K)$. It has a Mahler expansion of the form

$$(f \circ \theta_{\mathbb{Q}_p})\left(\sum_{ij} x_{ij} v_i \mathfrak{x}_j\right) = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} \binom{\mathbf{x}}{\alpha}$$

for all $\mathbf{x} := (x_{11}, x_{21}, \dots, x_{nd}) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{nd}$ with coefficients $c_{\alpha} \in K$. Since $\theta_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ restricted to the direct summand $\mathbb{Q}_p v_i \mathfrak{x}_j$ equals $\exp$ we may explicitly calculate the values $(v_i \otimes \mathfrak{x}_j)(f)$ and $(1 \otimes v_i \mathfrak{x}_j)(f)$. Then a comparison of coefficients yields the claim. $\square$

Now let $\|\cdot\|_r$ denote the norm on $D(G_0, K)$ which induces $\|\cdot\|_{\bar{r}}$ and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow I_r(G_0, K) \longrightarrow D_r(G_0, K) \longrightarrow D_r(G, K) \longrightarrow 0$$

of filtered $D_r(G_0, K)$ -modules. Here, $I_r(G_0, K)$ denotes the closure of $I(G_0, K)$ inside the Banach algebra $D_r(G_0, K)$. The sequence of associated graded modules is exact whence

$$gr_r D_r(G, K) \simeq gr_r D_r(G_0, K) / gr_r I_r(G_0, K)$$

canonically (exactness follows from [B-CA], III.2.4 Prop.2 and the fact that $r \in p^{\mathbb{Q}}$ and K is discretely valued). Recalling that we have an isomorphism

$$gr_r D_r(G_0, K) \longrightarrow (gr K)[X_{11}, \dots, X_{nd}]$$

onto a polynomial ring over $gr K$ via $\sigma(b_{ij}) \mapsto X_{ij}$ to calculate $gr_r D_r(G, K)$ amounts to determine $gr_r I_r(G_0, K)$. Abbreviate $D_r := D_r(G_0, K)$, $I_r := I_r(G_0, K)$, $D_{\bar{r}} := D_r(G, K)$ for the rest of this section.

We start by computing the principal symbols $\sigma(F_{ij})$. Recall that a real number $0 < s < 1$ is called *not critical* for the series $\log(1 + X)$ if the supremum

$$|\log(1 + X)|_s := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \frac{1}{k} X^k \right|_s = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \frac{1}{k} \right| s^k$$

is obtained at a single monomial of the series $\log(1 + X)$. This monomial is called *dominant*. We assume for the rest of this section that r^κ is not critical for $\log(1 + X)$.

Lemma 6.4 *We have*

$$\sigma(F_{ij}) = \epsilon^{-h} X_{ij}^{p^h} - \bar{v}_i \epsilon^{-h} X_{1j}^{p^h} \in gr_r D_r$$

with $h \in \mathbb{N}_0$ depending only on r^κ , $\bar{v}_i \in k$ is the residue class of v_i and $\epsilon := \sigma(p) \in gr K$. For all $r^\kappa < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$ one has $h = 0$ i.e. $\sigma(F_{ij}) = X_{ij} - \bar{v}_i X_{1j}$.

Proof: We have

$$\sigma(F_{ij}) = \sigma(\log(1 + b_{ij})) - \bar{v}_i \sigma(\log(1 + b_{1j})) \quad (7)$$

since $\sigma(b_{ij})$ and $\sigma(b_{1j})$ are indeterminates in $gr_r D_r$ and since σ is multiplicative (note that $gr_r D_r$ is an integral domain). We compute $\sigma(\log(1 + b_{ij}))$. Since r^κ is not critical there is a dominant monomial of $\log(1 + X)$ with respect to $|\cdot|_{r^\kappa}$ whose index depends only on r and is easily seen to be a p -power, say p^h . Since

$$\left\| \frac{b_{ij}^k}{k} \right\|_r = \left| \frac{1}{k} \right| r^{\kappa k} = \left| \frac{X^k}{k} \right|_{r^\kappa}$$

for all ij and all k one gets

$$\sigma(\log(1 + b_{ij})) = \sigma\left(\frac{b_{ij}^{p^h}}{p^h}\right) = \sigma(p^{-h}) X_{ij}^{p^h} = \epsilon^{-h} X_{ij}^{p^h}.$$

Finally, for all numbers in the interval $(0, p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}})$ the linear term in $\log(1 + X)$ is always a dominant monomial ([R], VI.1.6 Ex. 2). $\square$

Corollary 6.5 *The $nd - d$ elements F_{ij} , $i \neq 1$ are orthogonal in D_r i.e. one has for arbitrary $c_{ij} \in K$ that*

$$\|\sum_{ij} c_{ij} F_{ij}\|_r = \max_{ij} \|c_{ij} F_{ij}\|_r.$$

Proof: We may assume (via leaving away possible summands) that $c_{ij} \neq 0$ for all ij and that $\|c_{ij} F_{ij}\|_r =: p^{-s}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant for all ij . According to the above lemma the elements $\sigma(F_{ij})$ generate a free $gr K$ -submodule inside $gr_r D_r$. We therefore get

$$0 \neq \sum_{ij} \sigma(c_{ij}) \sigma(F_{ij}) = \sum_{ij} \sigma(c_{ij} F_{ij}) = \sum_{ij} c_{ij} F_{ij} \mod F_r^{s+} D_r$$

and so $\|\sum_{ij} c_{ij} F_{ij}\|_r = p^{-s}$. $\square$

Our next goal is to prove that $gr_r I_r$ is generated by the symbols $\sigma(F_{ij})$, $i \neq 1$.

Lemma 6.6 *Fix $h \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Consider the family $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$ in $gr_r D_r$ consisting of the $nd - d$ elements*

$$\epsilon^{-h} X_{ij}^{p^h} - \bar{v}_i \epsilon^{-h} X_{1j}^{p^h}, \quad i \neq 1.$$

Take any pairwise different elements $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_t$ in $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$. The residue class $\bar{\sigma}_1 \neq 0$ is not a zero-divisor in $gr_r D_r / J$ where J denotes the ideal generated by $\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_t$.

Proof: Since ϵ^{-h} is a unit in $gr_r D_r$ and since we may choose elements $a_i \in k$ such that $a_i^{p^h} = \bar{v}_i$ the statement follows from the following general fact: given any polynomial ring $A[Z_1, \dots, Z_N]$ and nonzero integers $n_1, \dots, n_l$, $l \leq N$ the elements $Z_1^{n_1}, Z_2^{n_2}, \dots, Z_l^{n_l}$ (with any ordering) constitute a regular sequence on $A[Z_1, \dots, Z_N]$ ([Ka], 3.1, Ex. 12 (c)). $\square$

For the rest of this section write $\mathcal{F}$ for the set of elements F_{ij} , $i \neq 1$. For any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ let us say, for simplicity, that an element $\lambda \in I_r$ can be *generated in $F_r^s I_r$* (resp. $F_r^{s+} I_r$) if $\lambda = \sum_k F_k \lambda_k$ with finitely many elements $F_k \in \mathcal{F}$, $\lambda_k \in D_r$ such that $F_k \lambda_k \in F_r^s I_r$ (resp. $F_k \lambda_k \in F_r^{s+} I_r$). We want to show that every nonzero element $\lambda \in I_r$ can be generated in $F_r^s I_r$ where $s = \deg(\lambda)$. The following lemma prepares this result.

Lemma 6.7 *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Let a sum*

$$\sum_{k=1, \dots, t} F_k \lambda_k \in F_r^{s+} I_r$$

with $t \geq 2$, $F_k \in \mathcal{F}$ (pairwise different), $\lambda_k \in D_r$ and $F_k \lambda_k \in F_r^s I_r$ be given. There are elements $\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_{t-1} \in D_r$ with $F_k \lambda'_k \in F_r^s I_r$ and a term $T \in F_r^{s+} I_r$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1, \dots, t} F_k \lambda_k = T + \sum_{k=1, \dots, t-1} F_k \lambda'_k.$$

Moreover, T can be generated in $F_r^{s+} I_r$.

Proof: Recall that $\|\cdot\| := \|\cdot\|_r$ is multiplicative and D_r is an integral domain. We may assume that $\lambda_k \neq 0$ and thus $F_k \lambda_k \neq 0$ for all k . Furthermore, we may assume that $\deg(F_k \lambda_k)$ is a constant, say s , independent of k (terms of higher degree may finally be put into the term T). Abbreviating $\sigma_k := \sigma(F_k)$ all $\sigma_k \sigma(\lambda_k)$ lie in the same homogeneous component of $gr_r D_r$ and, by hypothesis, $\sum_{k=1, \dots, t} \sigma_k \sigma(\lambda_k) = 0$. Fix a k and write J_k for the ideal generated by the elements $\sigma_1, \dots, \widehat{\sigma_k}, \dots, \sigma_t$ inside $gr_r D_r$ ($\widehat{}$ means "omit"). Hence, $\overline{\sigma_k} \sigma(\lambda_k) = 0$ for the residue classes inside $gr_r D_r / J_k$. Since $\overline{\sigma_k} \neq 0$ is not a zero divisor (Lem. 6.6) we have $\sigma(\lambda_k) \in J_k$ i.e.

$$\sigma(\lambda_k) = f_1^k \sigma_1 + \dots + \widehat{f_k^k \sigma_k} + \dots + f_t^k \sigma_t$$

with $f_i^k \in gr_r D_r$. In this sum we may assume (without changing the value) the f_i^k to be homogeneous and of the same degree. In particular, there are elements $\lambda_i^k \in D_r$ such that $\sigma(\lambda_i^k) = f_i^k$. Letting now k vary and recalling that $\|F_k \lambda_k\| = p^{-s}$ one obtains for all pairs (i, k) with $i \neq k$ that

$$\|F_i \lambda_i^k\| = \|\lambda_k\| = \|F_k\|^{-1} p^{-s} =: c^{-1} p^{-s}. \quad (8)$$

Note that c is independent of k and so $\|\lambda_i^k\| = c^{-2} p^{-s}$.

For fixed k one has

$$\sum_{i, i \neq k} \sigma_i \sigma(\lambda_i^k) = \sum_{i, i \neq k} \sigma_i f_i^k = \sigma(\lambda_k) \neq 0$$

and all terms in the sum of the left-hand side are of the same degree. Hence, $\sigma(\sum_{i, i \neq k} F_i \lambda_i^k) = \sigma(\lambda_k)$ which implies

$$\lambda_k = \sum_{i, i \neq k} F_i \lambda_i^k + R_k$$

with some term $R_k \in D_r$ of norm strictly smaller than $\|\lambda_k\|$. Now put

$$A := \sum_{(k, i), k \neq i} F_k F_i \lambda_i^k, \quad B := \sum_k F_k R_k$$

so that $\sum_k F_k \lambda_k = A + B$. Then $A = \sum_{k=1, \dots, t} F_k \tilde{\lambda}_k$ with $\tilde{\lambda}_k := (\sum_{i, i \neq k} F_i \lambda_i^k)$ has the same shape as the sum $\sum_k F_k \lambda_k$, namely $A \in F_r^{s+} I_r$ but $F_k \tilde{\lambda}_k \in$

$F_r^s I_r$. Moreover, since $\|F_k R_k\| < \|F_k \lambda_k\| = p^{-s}$ the term $B \in I_r$ is generated in $F_r^{s+1} I_r$. Hence, it suffices to prove the statement of the lemma for the sum A .

To do this, write

$$A = \sum_{k, k < t} F_k \left(\sum_{i \neq k} F_i \lambda_i^k \right) + \sum_{i, i < t} F_t F_i \lambda_i^t. \quad (9)$$

Now the L -subspace generated by the $F_{ij} \in \mathcal{F}$ in the Lie algebra $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is an ideal (being the kernel of the algebra homomorphism $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_L$). Since we have an inclusion of the enveloping algebra $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ into D_r (cf. [ST2]) this amounts to $F_t F_i - F_i F_t = [F_t, F_i] = \sum_l c_l^i F_l'$ with some pairwise different $F_l' \in \mathcal{F}$ and some coefficients $c_l^i \in L$. The commutativity of $gr_r D_r$ and Cor. 6.5 yield $\|F_t F_i\| > \|\sum_l c_l^i F_l'\| = \max_l \|c_l^i F_l'\|$ whence

$$|c_l^i| < c \quad (10)$$

for all i, l . Now, using $F_t F_i = F_i F_t + \sum_l c_l^i F_l'$ one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i, i < t} F_t F_i \lambda_i^t &= \sum_{i, i < t} (F_i F_t + \sum_l c_l^i F_l') \lambda_i^t \\ &= \sum_{i, i < t} F_i F_t \lambda_i^t + \sum_l F_l' (\sum_{i, i < t} c_l^i \lambda_i^t). \end{aligned}$$

So according to line (9) the term A can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \sum_{k < t} F_k (\sum_{i \neq k} F_i \lambda_i^k + F_t \lambda_k^t) + \sum_l F_l' (\sum_{i, i < t} c_l^i \lambda_i^t) \\ &= \sum_{k < t} F_k \lambda_k' + \sum_l F_l' (\sum_{i, i < t} c_l^i \lambda_i^t) \end{aligned}$$

with $\lambda_k' := \sum_{i \neq k} F_i \lambda_i^k + F_t \lambda_k^t$ and this finishes the proof. Indeed, on the one hand, we have $\|F_k \lambda_k'\| = c \|\lambda_k'\| \leq c c c^{-2} p^{-s} = p^{-s}$ according to (8) and so $F_k \lambda_k' \in F_r^s I_r$. On the other hand,

$$\|F_l' (\sum_{i, i < t} c_l^i \lambda_i^t)\| \leq \max_{i, i < t} |c_l^i| \|F_l' \lambda_i^t\| < c c^{-1} p^{-s} = p^{-s}$$

according to (8) and (10) and so the sum $\sum_l F_l' (\sum_{i, i < t} c_l^i \lambda_i^t)$ is generated in $F_r^{s+1} I_r$. $\square$

Lemma 6.8 *Any nonzero $\lambda \in I_r$ can be generated in $F_r^s I_r$ where $s = \deg(\lambda)$.*

Proof: Since $r \in p^{\mathbb{Q}}$ and K is discretely valued the filtration on I_r has degrees in $\frac{1}{q} \cdot \mathbb{Z}$, some $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Write $\lambda = \sum_{k=1, \dots, t} F_k \lambda_k$ with pairwise different $F_k \in \mathcal{F}$, $\lambda_k \in D_r$ and $F_k \lambda_k \in F^s := F^{s/q} I_r$, some $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. It suffices to prove that $\lambda \in F^{s+1}$ implies that λ can be generated in F^{s+1} .

Assume $\lambda \in F^{s+1}$. If $t = 1$ there is nothing to show. Hence, assume $t \geq 2$ and use the preceding lemma to write

$$\lambda = T + \sum_{k=1, \dots, t-1} F_k \lambda'_k$$

with some $\lambda'_k \in D_r$ such that $F_k \lambda'_k \in F^s$ and a term $T \in F^{s+1}$ that can be generated in F^{s+1} . If $t - 1 = 1$ we are done. Otherwise the preceding lemma applies to the sum $\sum_{k=1, \dots, t-1} F_k \lambda'_k \in F^{s+1}$ as well. Since we have reduced the number of summands from t to $t - 1$, repeating the argument finitely many times yields the claim. $\square$

Pick $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Put $s_0 := \deg(F) \in \mathbb{R}$ (independent of F).

Corollary 6.9 *For any given $s \in \mathbb{R}$ one has*

$$F_r^s I_r = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F F_r^{s-s_0} D_r$$

as additive groups. In particular, the graded ideal $\text{gr}_r I_r$ is generated by the $nd - d$ principal symbols $\sigma(F)$, $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proof: The first assertion follows from the preceding lemma by multiplicativity of the norm. The second follows from this by a standard argument (cf. [LVO], I.5.4). $\square$

We now compute the quotient ring $D_{\bar{r}}$. Recall that r^κ is not critical and that this is automatic if $r^\kappa < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$. Recall also that $v_1 = 1$. Summarizing the results obtained so far yields the

Proposition 6.10 *There is an isomorphism of $\text{gr} K$ -algebras*

$$\text{gr}_r D_{\bar{r}} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\text{gr} K)[X_{11}, \dots, X_{nd}] / (\{X_{ij}^{p^h} - \bar{v}_i X_{1j}^{p^h}\}_{i \geq 2, j \geq 1})$$

where $h \in \mathbb{N}_0$ depends only on r^κ . If $r^\kappa < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$ then $h = 0$ and

$$\text{gr}_r D_{\bar{r}} \simeq (\text{gr} K)[X_{11}, \dots, X_{1d}]$$

as $\text{gr} K$ -algebras where the isomorphism is obtained by the above map together with the algebra homomorphism induced by $X_{ij} \mapsto \bar{v}_i X_{1j}$ for all i, j . In this case the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\bar{r}}$ is multiplicative and $D_{\bar{r}}$ is an integral domain.

Proof: It remains to check, in case $r^\kappa < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$, that $X_{ij} \mapsto \bar{v}_i X_{1j}$ really induces an isomorphism between $(\text{gr} K)[X_{11}, \dots, X_{nd}] / (\{X_{ij} - \bar{v}_i X_{1j}\}_{i \geq 2, j \geq 1})$ and $(\text{gr} K)[X_{11}, \dots, X_{1d}]$. This is a standard computation in polynomial rings. It follows that $\text{gr}_r D_{\bar{r}}$ is an integral domain and $\|\cdot\|_{\bar{r}}$ must be multiplicative. $\square$

Corollary 6.11 *The ring $D(G, K)$ is an integral domain.*

Remark: For the additive group $G := \mathfrak{o}$ this was already shown in [ST4], Cor. 3.7 using p -adic Fourier theory.

Since $D_r \rightarrow D_{\bar{r}}$ restricts to the identity on group rings we may view the elements $\mathbf{b}^\alpha$ inside $D_{\bar{r}}$ and consider their principal symbols in $gr_r D_{\bar{r}}$. Tracing through the definitions of the maps involved yields the

Corollary 6.12 *The isomorphism $gr_r D_{\bar{r}} \rightarrow (gr K)[X_{11}, \dots, X_{1d}]$ maps $\sigma(b_{1j}) \mapsto X_{1j}$.*

Let $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$. To simplify notation we denote by $\mathbf{b}^\beta$ the element $b_{11}^{\beta_1} \dots b_{1d}^{\beta_d}$.

Proposition 6.13 *Let $r^\kappa < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$. Every $\lambda \in D_{\bar{r}}$ has a convergent expansion*

$$\lambda = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} d_\beta \mathbf{b}^\beta$$

with uniquely determined $d_\beta \in K$. Furthermore,

$$\|\lambda\|_{\bar{r}} = \sup_{\beta} \|d_\beta \mathbf{b}^\beta\|_{\bar{r}} = \sup_{\beta} |d_\beta| r^{\kappa|\beta|}.$$

Proof: The norm $\|\cdot\|_{\bar{r}}$ is multiplicative and so $gr_r D_{\bar{r}}$ is freely generated as $gr K$ -module by the symbols $\{\sigma(\mathbf{b}^\beta)\}_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d}$ according to the above corollary. This implies that the monomials $\mathbf{b}^\beta$ generate a dense K -subspace in $D_{\bar{r}}$ such that $\|\lambda\|_{\bar{r}} = \max_{\beta} \|d_\beta \mathbf{b}^\beta\|_{\bar{r}}$ for any finite sum $\lambda = \sum_{\beta} d_\beta \mathbf{b}^\beta$ out of this submodule. Finally, since $\sigma(b_{1j}) \in gr_r D_r$ is mapped to $\sigma(b_{1j}) \in gr_r D_{\bar{r}}$ by the canonical map $gr_r D_r \rightarrow gr_r D_{\bar{r}}$ it follows that $\|b_{1j}\|_r = \|b_{1j}\|_{\bar{r}}$. $\square$

Remark: We have seen, provided that r is small, that $gr_r D_{\bar{r}}$ is a polynomial ring. We will deduce from this that $D_{\bar{r}}$ is Auslander regular (Prop. 8.1). However, without the restriction on the radius r it is easy to give examples where $gr_r D_{\bar{r}}$ has nonzero nilpotents and therefore infinite global dimension. This pathology motivates the following.

7 Lower p -series subalgebras

The aim of this and the next section is to reduce the situation of a general radius r to the "small" case. Assume that H is a compact locally L -analytic group such that H_0 is uniform of dimension $d := \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H_0$. Later H will be a suitable subgroup of G .

Let I be a countable index set. A bounded family of pairwise different nonzero elements $(v_i)_{i \in I}$ in a normed K -vector space V is called *orthogonal* if one has $\|v\| = \max_I |c_i| \|v_i\|$ for any convergent series $v = \sum_I c_i v_i$, $c_i \in K$. The family is called an *orthogonal basis* if any element $v \in V$ can be written

as such a convergent series. Letting $p^{-1} < r < 1$, $r \in p^{\mathbb{Q}}$ we turn to the Banach space $D_r(H_0, K)$. Let $h_1, \dots, h_d$ denote a minimal system of ordered generators for H_0 and put as usual $b_i := h_i - 1$.

Lemma 7.1 *Let $\mathcal{T} = \{T_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a countable family of pairwise different nonzero elements of $D_r(H_0, K)$. For any $T_i \in \mathcal{T}$ expand $T_i = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} d_{i,\alpha} \mathbf{b}^\alpha$ and choose $S_i \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ with $\|T_i\|_r = \|d_{i,S_i} \mathbf{b}^{S_i}\|_r$. Suppose that for each T_i the index S_i is uniquely determined and the map $\iota : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0^d$, $T_i \mapsto S_i$ is injective. Then the system $\mathcal{T}$ is orthogonal in $D_r(H_0, K)$. Suppose further that ι is also surjective. Then $\mathcal{T}$ is an orthogonal basis for $D_r(H_0, K)$.*

Proof: This follows directly from [F], Lem. 1.4.1/2. $\square$

Consider the lower p -series

$$H_0 = H_0^{(0)} \supseteq H_0^{(1)} \supseteq \dots$$

of H_0 . Each subgroup $H_0^{(m)}$ is uniform itself. As explained in section 4 its own lower p -series produces a p -valuation $\omega^{(m)}$ on $H_0^{(m)}$ satisfying (HYP) with the ordered basis $h_1^{p^m}, \dots, h_d^{p^m}$. The global chart realizes $D(H_0^{(m)}, K)$ as a noncommutative power series ring and gives rise to a family of norms $\|\cdot\|_s^{(m)}$, $0 < s < 1$ on $D(H_0^{(m)}, K)$ defining its Fréchet topology. Of course $\|\cdot\|_r = \|\cdot\|_r^{(0)}$.

Proposition 7.2 *Fix $m \geq 1$ and suppose $r^{\kappa(p^m-1)} > p^{-1}$. Then $\|\cdot\|_r$ restricts to $\|\cdot\|_{r'}^{(m)}$ on the subring $D(H_0^{(m)}, K) \subseteq D(H_0, K)$ where $r' = r^{p^m}$.*

Proof: Abbreviate $R := D(H_0, K)$, $R^{(m)} := D(H_0^{(m)}, K)$ and use induction on m . Let $m = 1$. Put $b'_i := h_i^p - 1$, $\mathbf{b}'^\alpha := b_1'^{\alpha_1} \dots b_d'^{\alpha_d}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ and consider an arbitrary element

$$\lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} d_\alpha \mathbf{b}'^\alpha$$

in $R^{(1)}$. To verify that $\|\cdot\|_r$ restricts to $\|\cdot\|_{r^p}^{(1)}$ on $R^{(1)}$ amounts to show, by definition of the norms $\|\cdot\|_s^{(1)}$, that $\|\lambda\|_r = \sup_\alpha |d_\alpha| r^{p\kappa|\alpha|}$. To do this we first compute the norm $\|\mathbf{b}'^\alpha\|_r$. We have

$$b'_i = h_i^p - 1 = (b_i + 1)^p - 1 = b_i^p + \sum_{k=1, \dots, p-1} \binom{p}{k} b_i^k.$$

By $r^{\kappa(p-1)} > p^{-1}$ it follows

$$\|b_i^p\|_r = r^{\kappa p} > p^{-1} r^{\kappa} \geq p^{-1} r^{\kappa k} = \left| \binom{p}{k} \right| r^{\kappa k} = \left\| \binom{p}{k} b_i^k \right\|_r$$

for all $k = 1, \dots, p-1$ and so $\|b'_i\|_r = r^{\kappa p}$. Hence, $\|\mathbf{b}'^\alpha\|_r = \prod_i \|b'_i\|_r^{\alpha_i} = r^{p\kappa|\alpha|}$.

Since the Fréchet topology on $R^{(1)}$ is stronger than the induced $\|\cdot\|_r$ -topology ([Ko], Prop. 1.1.3) we may view $\lambda = \sum_\alpha d_\alpha \mathbf{b}'^\alpha$ as a convergent series in the K -Banach space $(D_r(H_0, K), \|\cdot\|_r)$. Then we are reduced to show that the family of pairwise different nonzero elements $\mathcal{T} := \{\mathbf{b}'^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d}$ is orthogonal in $(D_r(H_0, K), \|\cdot\|_r)$. But this follows from Lem. 7.1: by our calculations S_α is uniquely determined and equals $p\alpha$. Hence, $\iota : \mathbf{b}'^\alpha \mapsto p\alpha$ is injective.

Now let $m > 1$ and assume that the result holds true for all numbers strictly smaller than m and that $r^{\kappa(p^m-1)} > p^{-1}$. Since the latter implies $r^{\kappa(p^{m-1}-1)} > p^{-1}$ the induction hypothesis shows that $\|\cdot\|_r$ on R restricts to $\|\cdot\|_{r^{p^{m-1}}}^{(m-1)}$ on $R^{(m-1)}$.

Now $H_0^{(m)}$ appears also as first step in the lower p -series of the uniform group $H_0^{(m-1)}$. Hence, the induction hypothesis applies to these two groups: for $0 < s < 1$, every $\|\cdot\|_s^{(m-1)}$ on $R^{(m-1)}$ restricts to $\|\cdot\|_{s^p}^{(m)}$ on $R^{(m)}$ as long as $s^{\kappa p} > s^{\kappa} p^{-1}$. We choose $s = r^{p^{m-1}}$. Then $s^{\kappa p} = r^{\kappa p^m} > r^{\kappa} p^{-1} > s^{\kappa} p^{-1}$ and so $\|\cdot\|_{r^{p^{m-1}}}^{(m-1)}$ restricts to $\|\cdot\|_{r^{p^m}}^{(m)}$ on $R^{(m)}$. $\square$

Lemma 7.3 *Assume $r^{\kappa(p^m-1)} > p^{-1}$. The inclusion of the closure*

$$D_{(r)}(H_0^{(m)}, K) \subseteq D_r(H_0, K)$$

satisfies the assumptions of Prop. 2.2 where the corresponding module basis is given by any system of representatives $\mathcal{R}$ for the cosets in $H_0^{(m)} \setminus H_0$ containing 1.

Proof: Note that it suffices to prove that $D_r(H_0, K)$ is a finite free left $D_{(r)}(H_0^{(m)}, K)$ -module. Indeed, it follows (as in the proof of Prop. 2.2) that $D_{(r)}(H_0^{(m)}, K)$ is noetherian whence $D_r(H_0, K)$ is a finitely generated Banach module over a noetherian Banach algebra. By [ST5], Prop. 2.1 the topology on the module $D_r(H_0, K)$ is thus the direct sum topology. Since the extension $D(H_0^{(m)}, K) \subseteq D(H_0, K)$ obviously satisfies the assumptions of Prop. 2.2 with basis $\mathcal{R}$ passing to completions yields the same for $D_{(r)}(H_0^{(m)}, K) \subseteq D_r(H_0, K)$.

Put $R^{(m)} := D(H_0^{(m)}, K)$, $R_r^{(m)} := D_{(r)}(H_0^{(m)}, K)$, $R := R^{(0)}$, $R_r := R_r^{(0)}$. We again proceed via induction on m . Let $m = 1$ and $r^{\kappa(p-1)} > p^{-1}$.

As in the preceding proof put $b'_i := h_i^p - 1$ for all i . By the discussion in [DDMS], 4.2 the elements $\mathbf{h}^\beta := h_1^{\beta_1} \cdots h_d^{\beta_d}$ with $\beta \in \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, \alpha_i < p \forall i\} := \mathbb{N}_{0, < p}^d$ constitute a system $\mathcal{R}'$ of representatives for the cosets in $H_0^{(1)} \setminus H_0$. Furthermore, the family of pairwise different nonzero elements

$$\mathcal{T} := \{\mathbf{b}'^\alpha \mathbf{b}^\beta\}_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \times \mathbb{N}_{0, < p}^d}$$

is an orthogonal basis for $(R_r, \|\cdot\|_r)$. The latter follows similar to the preceding proof by Lem. 7.1 observing that here, ι is given by the bijection $\mathbf{b}'^\alpha \mathbf{b}^\beta \mapsto p\alpha + \beta$. Since the elements $\mathbf{b}'^\alpha$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ constitute a topological K -basis for $R_r^{(1)}$ the definition of an orthogonal basis implies that R is a finite and free left $R_r^{(1)}$ -module on the finite basis $\mathbf{b}^\beta$, $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0, < p}^d$.

Now let $m > 1$ and assume that the result holds true for all numbers strictly smaller than m . By the induction hypothesis we can assume that

$$R_r = \oplus_{h \in \mathcal{R}'} R_r^{(m-1)} h$$

where $\mathcal{R}'$ is a system of representatives for the cosets in $H_0^{(m-1)} \setminus H_0$ containing 1. But $H_0^{(m)}$ appears also as first step in the lower p -series of $H_0^{(m-1)}$ and so the induction hypothesis applies to these two groups as well: if the index $p^{-1} < s < 1$ in $p^{\mathbb{Q}}$ satisfies $s^{\kappa p} > s^{\kappa} p^{-1}$ then one has

$$R_{[s]}^{(m-1)} = \oplus_{h \in \mathcal{R}''} R_{[s]}^{(m)} h$$

where $\mathcal{R}''$ is a system of representatives for the cosets in $H_0^{(m)} \setminus H_0^{(m-1)}$ containing 1, $R_{[s]}^{(m-1)}$ denotes the completion of $R^{(m-1)}$ via the norm $\|\cdot\|_s^{(m-1)}$ and $R_{[s]}^{(m)}$ is the closure of $R^{(m)}$ inside this completion.

Now choose $s = r^{p^{m-1}}$. Then we obtain on the one hand $s^{\kappa p} = r^{\kappa p^m} > r^{\kappa} p^{-1} > s^{\kappa} p^{-1}$. On the other hand Prop. 7.2 implies that $\|\cdot\|_r$ restricts on $R^{(m-1)}$ to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{r^{p^{m-1}}}^{(m-1)}$. Hence

$$R_{[r^{p^{m-1}}]}^{(m-1)} = R_r^{(m-1)}, \quad R_{[r^{p^{m-1}}]}^{(m)} = R_r^{(m)}$$

and the proof is complete. $\square$

We deduce a quotient version of this result.

Proposition 7.4 *Adopt the assumptions of the preceding lemma. Moreover, write $\text{res}\|\cdot\|_r$ for the restriction of $\|\cdot\|_r$ to the subring $D(H_0^{(m)}, K)$ and $\text{quot}(\text{res}\|\cdot\|_r)$ for its quotient norm on $D(H^{(m)}, K)$. Let $\|\cdot\|_{\bar{r}}$ be the quotient norm of $\|\cdot\|_r$ on $D_r(H, K)$. The inclusion of the closure*

$$D_{(r)}(H^{(m)}, K) \subseteq D_r(H, K)$$

satisfies the assumptions of Prop. 2.2 with $\mathcal{R}$ as basis. The two norms $\text{res}\|\cdot\|_{\bar{r}}$ and $\text{quot}(\text{res}\|\cdot\|_r)$ induce the same topology on $D(H^{(m)}, K)$.

Proof: By the preceding lemma and its proof the $\|\cdot\|_r$ -topology on $D(H_0, K)$ equals the direct sum topology with respect to the decomposition $D(H_0, K) = \oplus_{h \in \mathcal{R}} D(H_0^{(m)}, K)h$ (where $D(H_0^{(m)}, K)$ carries the induced $\|\cdot\|_r$ -topology).

One has $I(H_0, K) = \oplus_{h \in \mathcal{R}} I(H_0^{(m)}, K)h$ as a straightforward consequence of the definition of the ideals $I(H_0, K)$, $I(H_0^{(m)}, K)$. Passing to completions and then to quotients yields the assertions. $\square$

8 Regularity for $D(G, K)$

In this section we prove the main result.

Let H be an open subgroup of G such that H_0 is uniform and satisfies (L). For any $m \geq 0$ let $H^{(m)}$ be the $(m+1)$ -th step in its lower p -series. Then $H_0^{(m)}$ is uniform satisfying (L) by Cor. 5.5. Furthermore, there is the usual family of norms $\|\cdot\|_r^{(m)}$, $p^{-1} < r < 1$, $r \in p^{\mathbb{Q}}$ on $D(H_0^{(m)}, K)$ inducing a K -Fréchet-Stein structure. As previously explained this family is induced by the p -valuation on $H_0^{(m)}$ coming from its own lower p -series. Fix bases $\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_d$ resp. $v_1 = 1, \dots, v_n$ of $\mathfrak{g}_L$ over L resp. $\mathfrak{o}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ that realize condition (L) for $H_0^{(m)}$. Define the $nd-d$ elements $F_{ij} = 1 \otimes v_i \mathfrak{x}_j - v_i \otimes \mathfrak{x}_j \in D(H_0^{(m)}, K)$, $i \neq 1$. Given a norm $\|\cdot\|_r^{(m)}$ on $D(H_0^{(m)}, K)$ denote by $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{r}}^{(m)}$ as usual the corresponding quotient norm on $D(H^{(m)}, K)$. We abbreviate $\|\cdot\|_r := \|\cdot\|_r^{(0)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{r}} := \|\cdot\|_{\tilde{r}}^{(0)}$ for all r .

Proposition 8.1 *Fix an index $p^{-1} < r < 1$ in $p^{\mathbb{Q}}$ with $r^{\kappa} < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$ and the quotient norm $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{r}}^{(m)}$ on $D(H^{(m)}, K)$. Denote by $gr_r D_r(H^{(m)}, K)$ the graded ring associated to the $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{r}}^{(m)}$ -filtration on the completion $D_r(H^{(m)}, K)$. Then $gr_r D_r(H^{(m)}, K)$ is a polynomial ring over $gr K$ in d variables and the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{r}}^{(m)}$ on $D_r(H^{(m)}, K)$ is multiplicative. Furthermore, $D_r(H^{(m)}, K)$ is an integral domain, Auslander regular and of global dimension $\leq d+1$.*

Proof: According to Prop. 6.10 it remains to prove the Auslander regularity and the bound on the global dimension. Note that the ring $gr K = k[\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0^{-1}]$ has global dimension 1. Thus, $gr_r D_r(H^{(m)}, K) = (gr K)[X_{11}, \dots, X_{1d}]$ is a commutative noetherian ring of global dimension $d+1$. Since $D_r(H^{(m)}, K)$ is a Zariski ring (with respect to the norm filtration) ([LVO], II.2.2.1) the assertions follow directly from [loc.cit.], II.3.1.4 and III.2.2.5. $\square$

Proposition 8.2 *Keeping the assumptions of the preceding proposition one has the sharper bound*

$$\text{gld } D_r(H^{(m)}, K) \leq d.$$

Proof: This is a generalization of the argument given in [ST5], (proof of Thm. 4.9: first, we may pass to a finite extension of K if necessary. Indeed, if K'/K is finite then it follows directly from the constructions that $D_r(H^{(m)}, K')$ is free and finitely generated as a (left or right) module over $D_r(H^{(m)}, K)$. Since we already know that $\text{gld } D_r(H^{(m)}, K) < \infty$ we obtain $\text{gld } D_r(H^{(m)}, K) \leq \text{gld } D_r(H^{(m)}, K')$ by [MCR], Thm. 7.2.6.

According to this remark we may assume that the ramification index e of K satisfies $p^{-l/e} = r^\kappa$ (recall that $r \in p^\mathbb{Q}$) with suitable $l \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that homogeneous components in the graded ring $gr_r D_r(H^{(m)}, K)$ are nonzero if and only if their degree lies in $\frac{1}{e} \cdot \mathbb{Z}$. Denote by $F_r^0 D_r(H^{(m)}, K)$ the subring of $D_r(H^{(m)}, K)$ equal to the zero-term in the $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{r}}^{(m)}$ -filtration of $D_r(H^{(m)}, K)$. We prove

$$\text{gld } F_r^0 D_r(H^{(m)}, K) \leq d + 1. \quad (11)$$

From this the claim follows since $\text{gld } D_r(H^{(m)}, K) < \text{gld } F_r^0 D_r(H^{(m)}, K)$ by a standard argument (comp. proof of [ST5], Thm. 8.9).

So let us prove the inequality (11). By Prop. 6.13 any element $\lambda \in D_r(H^{(m)}, K)$ admits a unique convergent expansion $\lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} d_\alpha \mathbf{b}^\alpha$ with $d_\alpha \in K$ in the monomials $\mathbf{b}^\alpha = b_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots b_d^{\alpha_d}$ where $b_j := b_{1j}$. In this situation the norm is computed via $\|\lambda\|_{\tilde{r}}^{(m)} = \sup_\alpha |d_\alpha| r^{\kappa|\alpha|}$.

Now let π be a prime element of K and $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then $r^{\kappa|\alpha|} = |\pi|^l |\alpha|$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ and so for fixed $e_\alpha \in K$ the condition

$$\|e_\alpha (b_1/\pi^l)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (b_d/\pi^l)^{\alpha_d}\|_{\tilde{r}}^{(m)} \leq p^{-s/e}$$

is equivalent to $|e_\alpha| \leq |\pi|^s$. It follows that the set $F_r^{s/e} F_r^0 D_r(H^{(m)}, K)$ consists precisely of all series

$$\sum_{\alpha} e_\alpha (b_1/\pi^l)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (b_d/\pi^l)^{\alpha_d}$$

with $|e_\alpha| \leq |\pi|^s$ and $|e_\alpha| \rightarrow 0$ for $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$. By Cor. 6.12 the isomorphism

$$gr_r D_r(H^{(m)}, K) \xrightarrow{\sim} (gr_r K)[X_{11}, \dots, X_{1d}],$$

maps $\sigma(b_j) \mapsto X_{1j}$. Thus, restricting it to the homogeneous component $gr_r^{s/e} F_r^0 D_r(H^{(m)}, K)$ of the subring $gr_r F_r^0 D_r(H^{(m)}, K) \subseteq gr_r D_r(H^{(m)}, K)$ yields an isomorphism (of additive groups)

$$gr_r^{s/e} F_r^0 D_r(H^{(m)}, K) \simeq \epsilon_0^s \cdot k[u_1, \dots, u_d]$$

where $\epsilon_0 = \sigma(\pi)$, $u_j := \sigma(b_j/\pi^l) = \epsilon_0^{-l} X_{1j}$. Letting s vary one arrives at the ring isomorphism

$$gr_r F_r^0 D_r(H^{(m)}, K) \simeq k[\epsilon_0, u_1, \dots, u_d].$$

The right-hand side is a commutative noetherian ring of global dimension $d + 1$. Since $F_r^0 D_r(H^{(m)}, K)$ is closed in $D_r(H^{(m)}, K)$ it is Zariski ([LVO], II.2.2.1) and hence ([loc.cit.], II.3.1.4) has global dimension $\leq d + 1$. $\square$

Now make the following definition: fix once and for all a radius $\delta \in p^{\mathbb{Q}}$ with $p^{-1} < \delta < 1$ such that $\delta^\kappa < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$ and consider the set $S(\delta)$ of its positive real p -power roots

$$\delta_m := \delta^{1/p^m}.$$

Clearly $p^{-1} < \delta_m < 1$ and $\delta_m \uparrow 1$ in $p^{\mathbb{Q}}$ for $m \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\bar{r}}$ with $r \in S(\delta)$ induce the Fréchet topology on $D(H, K)$. In the following we show that the corresponding completions $D_r(H, K)$ are Auslander regular rings of global dimension $\leq d$.

Given $r \in S(\delta)$ choose $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $r^{p^{m+\kappa-1}} = \delta$ and consider the Banach algebra $D_\delta(H^{(m+\kappa-1)}, K)$. The latter denotes as usual the completion of the Fréchet-Stein algebra $D(H^{(m+\kappa-1)}, K)$ via the quotient norm $\|\cdot\|_{\bar{\delta}}^{(m+\kappa-1)}$.

Lemma 8.3 *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a system of representatives for the cosets in $H^{(m+\kappa-1)} \setminus H$ containing 1. The restriction $\text{res } \|\cdot\|_{\bar{r}}$ of $\|\cdot\|_{\bar{r}}$ from $D(H, K)$ to $D(H^{(m+\kappa-1)}, K)$ is equivalent to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\bar{\delta}}^{(m+\kappa-1)}$. The resulting ring extension*

$$D_\delta(H^{(m+\kappa-1)}, K) \subseteq D_r(H, K)$$

satisfies the assumptions of Prop. 2.2 with $\mathcal{R}$ as basis. In particular, both rings have the same global dimension and $D_r(H, K)$ is Auslander regular if and only if this is true for $D_\delta(H^{(m+\kappa-1)}, K)$.

Proof: We distinguish two cases:

1. $p \neq 2$. Then $\kappa = 1$ and $r^{p^m} = \delta$. Now $p^{-1} < \delta = r^{\kappa p^m}$ implies $p^{-1} < r^{\kappa(p^m-1)}$. Thus Prop. 7.4 yields that $\text{res } \|\cdot\|_{\bar{r}}$ is equivalent to $\text{quot}(\text{res } \|\cdot\|_r)$ on the subring $D(H^{(m)}, K) \subseteq D(H, K)$ where as usual $\text{res } \|\cdot\|_r$ denotes the restriction of $\|\cdot\|_r$ to the subring $D(H_0^{(m)}, K)$ and $\text{quot}(\text{res } \|\cdot\|_r)$ is the quotient norm of this restriction. Moreover, the inclusion of the closure of $D(H^{(m)}, K)$ into $D_r(H, K)$ satisfies the assumptions of Prop. 2.2. But according to Prop. 7.2 the two norms $\text{res } \|\cdot\|_r$ and $\|\cdot\|_{r^{p^m}}^{(m)}$ coincide on $D(H_0^{(m)}, K)$. Thus $\text{res } \|\cdot\|_{\bar{r}}$ is equivalent to $\text{quot}(\|\cdot\|_{r^{p^m}}^{(m)}) = \|\cdot\|_{\bar{\delta}}^{(m+\kappa-1)}$ on $D(H^{(m)}, K) = D(H^{(m+\kappa-1)}, K)$ and so the closure equals $D_\delta(H^{(m+\kappa-1)}, K)$.

2. $p = 2$. Then $\kappa = 2$ and $r^{p^{m+1}} = \delta$. Now $p^{-1} < \delta = r^{\kappa p^m}$ implies $p^{-1} < r^{\kappa(p^m-1)}$ and so, as for $p \neq 2$, the norm $\text{res } \|\cdot\|_{\bar{r}}$ is equivalent to $\text{quot}(\|\cdot\|_{r^{p^m}}^{(m)})$ on $D(H^{(m)}, K)$ and, via completing, we have a ring extension satisfying the assumptions of Prop. 2.2.

Now put $s := r^{p^m}$. We wish to apply Prop. 7.2 and Prop. 7.4 a second time, namely to $p^{-1} < s < 1$ in $p^{\mathbb{Q}}$, the norm $\|\cdot\|_s^{(m)}$ on $D(H_0^{(m)}, K)$ and the

subgroup $H_0^{(m+1)} = (H_0^{(m)})^{(1)}$. This is possible since

$$s^{\kappa p} = r^{p^{m+2}} = r^2 p^{m+1} = \delta^2 > p^{-1} \delta = p^{-1} r^{p^{m+1}} = p^{-1} r^{\kappa p^m} = p^{-1} s^{\kappa}$$

i.e. $s^{\kappa(p-1)} > p^{-1}$. By Prop. 7.2 the restriction of $\|\cdot\|_{rp^m}^{(m)}$ and the norm $\|\cdot\|_{rp^{m+1}}^{(m+1)}$ coincide on $D(H_0^{(m+1)}, K)$. Thus, according to Prop. 7.4, the restriction of $\text{quot}(\|\cdot\|_{rp^m}^{(m)})$ to $D(H^{(m+1)}, K)$ is equivalent to $\text{quot}(\|\cdot\|_{rp^{m+1}}^{(m+1)})$ yielding a ring extension that satisfies the assumptions of Prop. 2.2. All in all $\text{res } \|\cdot\|_{\bar{r}}$ is equivalent to $\text{quot}(\|\cdot\|_{rp^{m+1}}^{(m+1)}) = \|\cdot\|_{\bar{\delta}}^{(m+\kappa-1)}$ on $D(H^{(m+1)}, K) = D(H^{(m+\kappa-1)}, K)$ and the extension

$$D_{\delta}(H^{(m+\kappa-1)}, K) \subseteq D_r(H, K)$$

is as desired. $\square$

Proposition 8.4 *Consider the usual family $\|\cdot\|_{\bar{r}}$, $p^{-1} < r < 1$, $r \in p^{\mathbb{Q}}$ of quotient norms on $D(H, K)$. If the index r lies in the set $S(\delta)$ the completed ring $D_r(H, K)$ is Auslander regular and of global dimension $\leq d$.*

Proof: Let $r \in S(\delta)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $r^{p^{m+\kappa-1}} = \delta$. By the above lemma it suffices to show that $D_{\delta}(H^{(m+\kappa-1)}, K)$ is an Auslander regular ring of global dimension $\leq d$. But since $\delta^{\kappa} < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$ this follows from Prop. 8.1 and 8.2. $\square$

The main result follows.

Theorem 8.5 *Let G be a compact locally L -analytic group of dimension d . Choose an open normal subgroup H such that H_0 is uniform satisfying condition (L). Consider the set of norms $\|\cdot\|_r$, $r \in S(\delta)$ on $D(H_0, K)$. Endow $D(G_0, K)$ with the maximum norms and $D(G, K)$ with the quotient norms. The completions $D_r(G, K)$ are Auslander regular rings of global dimension $\leq d$ and constitute a (two-sided) K -Fréchet-Stein structure on $D(G, K)$.*

Proof: Let $\text{quot}(\|\cdot\|_r)$, $r \in S(\delta)$ be the family of quotient norms on $D(H, K)$ via the quotient map $D(H_0, K) \rightarrow D(H, K)$ and let $D_r(H, K)$ be the completions. Choose a system $\mathcal{R}$ of representatives $g_1 = 1, \dots, g_l$ for the cosets in $H \backslash G$ and use the decomposition $D(G_0, K) = \oplus_i D(H_0, K)g_i$ to define on $D(G_0, K)$ for each $r \in S(\delta)$ the maximum norm:

$$\|\sum_i \lambda_i g_i\|_r := \max_i \|\lambda_i\|_r.$$

By [ST5], proof of Thm. 5.1 these norms are submultiplicative and the completions $D_r(G_0, K)$ resp. their quotients $D_r(G, K)$ constitute a two-sided

K -Fréchet-Stein structure on $D(G_0, K)$ resp. $D(G, K)$. Now a direct calculation gives that such a quotient norm $\|\cdot\|_r$ on $D(G, K)$ restricts to $\text{quot}(\|\cdot\|_r)$ on the subring $D(H, K)$. Hence, the ring extension $D_r(H, K) \subseteq D_r(G, K)$ satisfies the assumptions of Prop. 2.2 and the preceding proposition completes the proof. $\square$

9 Dimension and duality

In this last section G denotes an *arbitrary* locally L -analytic group. We indicate two applications of our results.

For any compact open subgroup $H \subseteq G$ the algebra $D(H, K)$ is endowed with the two-sided Fréchet-Stein structure exhibited in the last theorem. It satisfies the axiom (DIM) formulated in [ST5], Sect. 8. In [loc.cit.], Sect. 6 the authors introduce the category $\mathcal{C}_G$ of *coadmissible* (left) $D(G, K)$ -modules. We do not recall the precise definition here. As an immediate consequence of (DIM) the codimension theory, as developed in [loc.cit.] over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, is now available on $\mathcal{C}_G$. In particular, any nonzero $M \in \mathcal{C}_G$ has a well-defined codimension bounded above by $\dim_L G$ and comes equipped with a filtration of finite length by coadmissible submodules. A coadmissible module which is either zero or has maximal codimension equal to $\dim_L G$ is called *zero-dimensional*.

As an application we prove that coadmissible modules coming from smooth or, more general, $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -finite G -representations (as studied in [ST1]) are zero-dimensional. We remark that over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, all these cases are already treated in [ST5], Sect. 8. We start with a generalization of [loc.cit.], Prop. 8.14.

Proposition 9.1 *Consider a d -dimensional locally L -analytic group H such that H_0 is uniform and satisfies condition (L) with corresponding L -basis $\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_d$ of $\mathfrak{g}_L$, the Lie algebra of H . Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$ be elements of $U(\mathfrak{g}_L)$, the enveloping algebra, such that $\lambda_j = P_j(\mathfrak{x}_j)$ where P_j is a nonzero polynomial in $L[X]$ with $P_j(0) = 0$. Denote by J the left ideal of $D(H, K)$ generated by the λ_j . The coadmissible module $D(H, K)/J$ is zero-dimensional.*

Proof: Since J is finitely generated the $D(H, K)$ -modules J and $D(H, K)/J$ are coadmissible by [ST5], Cor. 3.4. It thus suffices, according to [loc.cit.], Cor. 3.1 and Remark 3.2, to fix $r \in S(\delta)$ and prove

$$j_{D_r(H, K)}(D_r(H, K)/D_r(H, K)J) \geq d.$$

By Lem. 8.3 we have with $R_1 := D_r(H, K)$, $R_0 := D_\delta(H^{(m)}, K)$ that R_1 is free as R_0 -bimodule on the finite basis $\mathcal{R}$. Here, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is appropriately chosen and $\mathcal{R}$ is a finite system of representatives for the cosets in $H^{(m)} \backslash H$

containing 1. According to the proof of Cor. 5.5 the uniform group $H_0^{(m)}$ satisfies (L) with the L -basis $p^m \mathfrak{x}_j$. Let $h_{11}, \dots, h_{nd}$ denote the induced minimal ordered generating system for $H_0^{(m)}$ and put as usual $b_j := h_{1j} - 1$. According to Prop. 6.13 the elements $\mathbf{b}^\alpha$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ are a topological K -basis for the Banach space R_0 and moreover, orthogonal with respect to $\|\cdot\|_\delta^{(m)}$. As graded ring we have $gr_\delta R_0 = (gr K)[\sigma(b_1), \dots, \sigma(b_d)]$ where σ denotes the principal symbol map.

Since $H^{(m)} \subseteq H$ is open we may consider the left ideal J_0 generated by $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$ inside R_0 . Abbreviate $J_1 := D_r(H, K)J$ and consider the homomorphism $R_1 \otimes_{R_0} J_0 \rightarrow R_1 J_0 = J_1$ of left R_1 -modules. By flatness of R_1 over R_0 it is bijective and we have $R_1/J_1 \simeq R_1 \otimes_{R_0} (R_0/J_0)$ as left R_1 -modules. By Lem. 2.1 and faithful flatness of R_1 over R_0 we obtain $j_{R_1}(R_1/J_1) = j_{R_0}(R_0/J_0)$. Since R_0 is Zariski with Auslander regular graded ring the right-hand side equals $j_{gr_\delta R_0}(gr_\delta R_0/gr_\delta J_0)$ according to [LVO], III.2.5.2. By standard commutative algebra the commutative noetherian regular and catenary domain $gr_\delta R_0$ is Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Krull dimension (on the category of finitely generated modules) ([BH], Cor. 3.5.11). Since $gr_\delta R_0$ has Krull dimension $d + 1$ it suffices to show that the finitely generated module $gr_\delta R_0/gr_\delta J_0$ has Krull dimension ≤ 1 .

Now identifying the Lie algebras of $H^{(m)}$ and $H_0^{(m)}$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ we have $p^m \mathfrak{x}_j = \log(1 + b_j)$ in R_0 . In $gr_\delta R_0$ we obtain $\sigma(\log(1 + b_j)) = \sigma(b_j)$ by definition of the norm $\|\cdot\|_\delta^{(m)}$ and the fact that $\delta^\kappa < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$. Since $\sigma(p^m) \in gr L$ is a unit and since $P_j(0) = 0$ we obtain

$$\sigma(\lambda_j) = \sigma(P_j(\mathfrak{x}_j)) = P'_j(\sigma(b_j))$$

with some nonconstant polynomial $P'_j \in (gr L)[X]$. Since $\sigma(\lambda_j) \in gr_\delta J_0$ we have a surjection

$$(gr K)[\sigma(b_1)]/(\sigma(\lambda_1)) \otimes_{gr K} \dots \otimes_{gr K} (gr K)[\sigma(b_d)]/(\sigma(\lambda_d)) \rightarrow gr_\delta R_0/gr_\delta J_0.$$

Each $(gr K)[\sigma(b_j)]/(\sigma(\lambda_j))$ is finitely generated as a module over the one-dimensional ring $gr K$ and hence, so is $gr_\delta R_0/gr_\delta J_0$. According to [B-CA], Prop. V.2.1.1 and Cor. V.2.1.1 the ring $gr_\delta R_0/gr_\delta J_0$ has then Krull dimension ≤ 1 . $\square$

Theorem 9.2 *Let $M \in \mathcal{C}_G$. If the action of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}_L)$ is locally finite, i.e., if $U(\mathfrak{g}_L)x$, for any $x \in M$, is a finite dimensional L -vectorspace then M is zero-dimensional.*

Proof: Fix an open subgroup $H \subseteq G$ such that H_0 is uniform satisfying (L) and let $x \in M$. According to [ST5], Prop. 8.11 it suffices to check that the cyclic module $D(H, K)x \in \mathcal{C}_H$ is zero-dimensional. Let $\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_d$ be a basis of

$\mathfrak{g}_L$ realising condition (L) for H . Write $D(H, K)x = D(H, K)/J_1$ with some left ideal J_1 of $D(H, K)$ and let J_0 be the kernel ideal of $U(\mathfrak{g}_L) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}_L)x$. Then $J_0 \subseteq J_1$ and the left ideal J_0 of $U(\mathfrak{g}_L)$ has, by assumption, finite codimension in $U(\mathfrak{g}_L)$. Hence, J_0 must contain, for every $j = 1, \dots, d$, an element $\lambda_j := P_j(\mathfrak{x}_j)$ where $P_j \in L[X]$ is a nonzero polynomial with $P_j(0) = 0$ (the latter since $U(\mathfrak{g}_L)$ is an integral domain). The left ideal $J \subseteq J_1$ generated by these elements λ_j in $D(H, K)$ satisfies the assumptions of the preceding proposition. Thus $D(H, K)/J$ is zero-dimensional and hence, so is its quotient $D(H, K)/J_1$ according to elementary properties of the codimension. $\square$

A smooth G -representation V is called *admissible-smooth* if, for any compact open subgroup $H \subseteq G$, the vector subspace V^H of H -invariant vectors in V is finite dimensional. An admissible-smooth G -representation equipped with the finest locally convex topology is admissible in the sense that its strong dual lies in $\mathcal{C}_G$ ([ST5], Thm. 6.6).

Corollary 9.3 *If V is an admissible-smooth G -representation then the corresponding coadmissible $D(G, K)$ -module is zero-dimensional.*

Proof: By [ST5], Thm. 6.6 the derived Lie algebra action on V is trivial. The corresponding coadmissible module M is the strong dual V'_b with $D(G, K)$ -action induced by the contragredient G -action ([loc.cit.] Thm. 6.3). Thus $U(\mathfrak{g}_L)x = Lx$ for all $x \in M$ and the preceding theorem applies. $\square$

Theorem 9.4 *Let G be compact and $M \in \mathcal{C}_G$. If the K -vectorspace*

$$M_r := D_r(G, K) \otimes_{D(G, K)} M$$

is finite dimensional for all $r \in S(\delta)$ then M is zero-dimensional.

Proof: Let $H \subseteq G$ open such that H_0 is uniform satisfying (L). Letting $x \in M$ it suffices to prove that $D(H, K)x \in \mathcal{C}_H$ is zero-dimensional. Write $D(H, K)x = D(H, K)/J$, J some left ideal and fix $r \in S(\delta)$. Since $D_r(H, K)$ is flat over $D(H, K)$ according to [ST5], Remark 3.2 we have an inclusion of $D_r(H, K)$ -modules

$$D_r(H, K)/D_r(H, K)J = D_r(H, K) \otimes_{D(H, K)} D(H, K)x \longrightarrow M_r$$

and hence the left-hand side is finite-dimensional over K . If $\mathfrak{g}_L$ denotes the Lie algebra the left ideal $J_0 := (K \otimes_L U(\mathfrak{g}_L)) \cap (D_r(H, K)J)$ has therefore finite K -codimension in $K \otimes_L U(\mathfrak{g}_L)$. As in the proof of the preceding theorem $D(H, K)/D(H, K)J_0$ and also $D(H, K)/J$ are zero-dimensional. $\square$

As a second application we simply remark that key parts of the duality theory for admissible locally analytic representations (as developed in [ST6] over $\mathbb{Q}_p$) now extend to the base field L . Thus, also for locally L -analytic groups G , the duality functor (defined on the bounded derived category of $D(G, K)$ -modules with coadmissible cohomology) is an anti-involution. Due to the presence of the codimension the category $\mathcal{C}_G$ is filtered by abelian subquotient categories and the functor is computed as a particular Ext-group on each of them.

References

- [B-CA] Bourbaki, N.: Commutative Algebra, Chap. 1-7. Paris: Hermann 1972
- [B-L] Bourbaki, N.: Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chap. 2-3. Paris: Hermann 1972
- [B-VAR] Bourbaki, N.: Variétés différentielles et analytiques. Fascicule de résultats. Paris: Hermann 1967
- [B-TVS] Bourbaki, N.: Topological Vector Spaces. Chap. 1-5. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer 2003
- [BH] Bruns, W., Herzog J.: Cohen-Macaulay rings. Cambridge Univ. Press 1993
- [DDMS] Dixon, J.D., du Sautoy, M.P.F., Mann, A., Segal, D.: Analytic Pro- p Groups. Cambridge Univ. Press 1999
- [F] Frommer, H.: The locally analytic principal series of split reductive groups. Münster: SFB-preprint 265 (2003)
- [Ka] Kaplansky, I.: Commutative Rings. Boston: Allyn and Bacon 1970
- [Ko] Kohlhaase, J.: Invariant distributions on p -adic analytic groups. Münster: SFB-preprint 410 (2005)
- [Laz] Lazard, M.: Groupes analytiques p -adiques. Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci. 26 (1965)
- [LVO] Li Huishi, van Oystaeyen, F.: Zariskian Filtrations. Dordrecht: Kluwer 1996
- [MCR] McConnell, J.C., Robson, J.C.: Noncommutative noetherian rings. Chichester: Wiley 1987

- [R] Robert, A.M.: A course in p -adic analysis. New York: Springer 2000
- [ST1] Schneider, P., Teitelbaum, J.: $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -finite locally analytic representations. Representation Theory 5 (2001)
- [ST2] Schneider, P., Teitelbaum, J.: Locally analytic distributions and p -adic representation theory, with applications to GL_2 . J. Am. Math. Soc. 15 (2002)
- [ST3] Schneider, P., Teitelbaum, J.: Banach space representations and Iwasawa theory. Israel J. Math. 127 (2002)
- [ST4] Schneider, P., Teitelbaum, J.: p -adic Fourier theory. Documenta Math. 6 (2001)
- [ST5] Schneider, P., Teitelbaum, J.: Algebras of p -adic distributions and admissible representations. Inv. Math. 153 (2003)
- [ST6] Schneider, P., Teitelbaum, J.: Duality for admissible locally analytic representations. Representation Theory 9 (2005)